



CONSOLIDATED GENERAL ELECTION

TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 2, 2010

SAMPLE BALLOT AND INFORMATION PAMPHLET

VOTE-BY-MAIL

October 4 – 26

**APPLICATION
ON BACK COVER**

**LAST DAY TO
REGISTER TO VOTE**

October 18, 2010

**POLLING PLACE
LOCATION
ON BACK COVER**

Polls Open

**November 2, 2010
7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.**

POSTMARK NOT ACCEPTED

**IF YOU HAVE NOT MAILED YOUR VOTED BALLOT
BEFORE ELECTION DAY IT MAY BE DROPPED OFF
AT ANY POLLING PLACE IN THE COUNTY OR AT
THE REGISTRAR OF VOTERS OFFICE BY 8 P.M. ON
ELECTION DAY TO COUNT.**

AVISO IMPORTANTE

**Una traducción en Español de esta Boleta de Muestra y
Folleto de Información al Votante puede obtenerse en la
oficina del Registro de Votantes Llame al (951) 486-7200 o
1 (800) 773-VOTE (8683) o visite nuestra sitio
www.voteinfo.net**



COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE REGISTRAR OF VOTERS
2724 Gateway Drive, Riverside, CA 92507 * 951-486-7200 * 800-773-VOTE (8683) * TTY: 951-697-8966
www.voteinfo.net



IMPORTANT NOTICE TO VOTERS

In an effort to maintain current voter rolls and reduce waste, the Riverside County Registrar of Voters will be mailing an alternate residency confirmation notice after the November 2, 2010 Consolidated General Election, pursuant to California Elections Code § 2224 that permits removal from the active voter roll the names of those registered voters who have **NOT** voted in any election nor had their registration updated in the preceding four years.

The pre-addressed return postage-paid card **MUST BE RETURNED** to the registrar of voters if he or she wish to remain on the active voter roll. However, if you receive a card for someone who has moved or does not live at the address shown return the card so that we can maintain a current voter file.

Voters who return the notice with an affirmative response will remain on the active list of registered voters.

Voters who do **NOT** respond affirmatively will be placed on an inactive voter list, and they will no longer receive election materials. If they fail to vote in any election between the time they receive the notice and the next two federal general elections, they may be canceled from the voter file.



VOTE EARLY

IT'S QUICK

IT'S EASY

IT'S CONVENIENT

**GET OUT OF LINE
ON ELECTION DAY!**

VOTE-BY-MAIL



Did You Know...

- Any voter can vote-by-mail
- You can opt to vote-by-mail once or chose to receive all future ballots by mail
- Vote-by-mail ballots are mailed to voters up to 29 days before Election Day
- A vote-by-mail voter can check online to see if the Registrar has received their ballot
- Vote-by-mail ballots can be returned:
 - * by mail
 - * at a vote-by-mail drop off box at any City Hall or County Library
 - * at any polling place in the County on Election Day
- The back of this pamphlet includes a vote-by-mail application



BARBARA DUNMORE
Registrar of Voters



2724 Gateway Drive
Riverside, CA 92507-0918
(951) 486-7200 • FAX (951) 486-7272
TTY (951) 697-8966
www.voteinfo.net

**REGISTRAR OF VOTERS
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE**

Dear Riverside County Voter:

The Consolidated General Election is November 2nd. Your ballot will contain contests for federal and state offices, as well as a number of statewide measures. In addition, your ballot may include local contests and measures. This Sample Ballot and Information Pamphlet and your State-issued Voter Information Guide are provided to assist you in making informed choices. You may mark your selections on your sample ballot for easy reference when completing your official ballot.

There are several options to cast your ballot in this election.



Polling Place Your polling place is listed on the back of this pamphlet. Polling places will be open from 7 a.m. to 8 p.m. on Election Day, November 2nd.



Registrar of Voters Office You may receive and cast your ballot (paper ballots and accessible voting unit available) at the Registrar of Voters Office located at 2724 Gateway Drive in Riverside, Monday – Saturday, beginning October 4th through the close of polls on Election Day. A drop box is located outside the front entrance for deposit of vote-by-mail ballots 24 hours a day.



Vote-by-Mail Any voter may request a vote-by-mail ballot and return it by mail at their convenience to reach the Registrar of Voters Office no later than the close of polls on Election Day. Postmarks are not accepted. Vote-by-mail ballots may also be turned in at any Riverside County polling place on Election Day.



Vote-by-Mail Drop-off Box Drop-off boxes for vote-by-mail ballots are available at county libraries and city clerks' offices beginning October 4th through November 1st.

You may confirm that you are registered to vote at www.voteinfo.net by selecting "Am I Registered?" The last day to register to vote and participate in this election is October 18, 2010.

You may confirm that the Registrar of Voters has received your returned vote-by-mail ballot at www.voteinfo.net by selecting "The Status of My Vote-by-Mail Ballot."

To ensure your ballot is counted promptly and accurately use a single line to connect the arrow and return your ballot by the close of polls (8 p.m.) on Election Day.

The ballot box is where we exercise our most cherished heritage and our proudest legacy – **the right to vote**. We look forward to counting your vote with your participation on November 2nd.

Sincerely,

Barbara Dunmore
Registrar of Voters

**CAN'T MAKE IT ON ELECTION DAY?
WE'RE MAKING IT EASY TO VOTE EARLY**



AT THE FOLLOWING LOCATION

THE REGISTRAR OF VOTERS OFFICE
2724 Gateway Drive, Riverside, CA 92507

October 4 through November 1
(excluding Holidays)

Monday through Friday
8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Saturdays
9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

Election Day, November 2
7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.



VOTE-BY-MAIL

You can request your OFFICIAL VOTE-BY-MAIL BALLOT by using the application on the back of this sample ballot booklet. Return your vote-by-mail ballot by mail or for your convenience, at the following drop-off locations throughout Riverside County October 4 to November 1:

- City Clerks' Offices
- County-run Libraries (as listed)
- The Registrar of Voters Office up until close of polls on Election Day.
- The Registrar of Voters 24-hour Drop Box is available east of the main entry doors.
- At any polling place in Riverside County on Election Day.



Check out our website
for maps to all of the
drop-off locations
throughout
Riverside County at
WWW.VOTEINFO.NET
or
call our office at
951-486-7200 or
1-800-773-VOTE(8683)

CITIES

**Banning
Beaumont
Blythe
Calimesa
Canyon Lake
Cathedral City
Coachella
Corona
Desert Hot Springs
Eastvale
Hemet
Indian Wells
Lake Elsinore
La Quinta
Menifee
Moreno Valley
Murrieta
Norco
Palm Desert
Palm Springs
Perris
Rancho Mirage
Riverside
San Jacinto
Temecula
Wildomar**

COUNTY LIBRARIES

Anza	Lake Tamarisk
Calimesa	Mecca
Canyon Lake	Mission Trail
Cathedral City	Norco
Coachella	Nuvview
Desert Hot Springs	Palm Desert
Eastvale	Paloma Valley
El Cerrito	Perris
Glen Avon	Robidoux
Highgrove	Romoland
Home Gardens	San Jacinto
Idyllwild	Sun City
Indio	Temecula –Grace Mellman
La Quinta	Temecula Public Library
Lake Elsinore	Thousand Palms
Lakeside	Valle Vista
	Woodcrest



TO PROPERLY MARK YOUR OFFICIAL PAPER BALLOT

Please refer to the following instructions on how to properly complete your official ballot.

- ✓ Connect the head and tail of the arrow that points to your choice, like the example provided. Check both front and back of ballot for voting contests
- ✓ Use a black ball point pen. Do not use felt tip, red or gel ink
- ✓ One line connecting the arrow is preferred
- ✓ Vote for no more than the number of votes allowed in each contest (e.g. vote for one, vote for no more than two, etc.)
- ✓ Please keep the vote-by-mail ballot folded the same way you received it

TO MARK YOUR VOTE DRAW A LINE



VERY IMPORTANT!!!
IF YOU ARE A VOTE-BY-MAIL VOTER, YOU MUST FILL OUT AND
SIGN YOUR RETURN ENVELOPE!

For a demonstration on marking your ballot please visit our website at www.voteinfo.net

MAKE YOUR VOTE COUNT... MARK YOUR BALLOT CORRECTLY

EXAMPLE

CORRECT



INCORRECT



SAMPLE BALLOT/BOLETA EJEMPLAR

RV 256-004

STATE/ESTADO		UNITED STATES SENATE SENADOR DE LOS ESTADOS UNIDOS	
Governor / Gobernador	Vote for One Vote por Uno	United States Senator Senador De Los Estados Unidos	Vote for One Vote por Uno
CHELENE NIGHTINGALE Business Owner Propietaria de empresa	↓	CARLY FLORINA Business Executive Ejecutiva empresarial	↓
CARLOS ALVAREZ Retail Worker Trabajador de ventas al por menor	↓	EDWARD C. NOONAN Computer Store Owner Propietario de tienda de computación	↓
LAURA WELLS Financial Systems Consultant Consultora de sistemas financieros	↓	DUANE ROBERTS Community Volunteer Voluntario comunitario	↓
MEG WHITMAN Businesswoman Empresaria	↓	BARBARA BOXER United States Senator Senadora de Estados Unidos	↓
DALE F. OGDEN Business Consultant/Actuary Consultor de empresas/Actuario	↓	GAIL K. LIGHTFOOT Retired Nurse Enfermera jubilada	↓
JERRY BROWN Attorney General of California Procurador General de California	↓	MARSHA FEINLAND Retired Teacher Maestra jubilada	↓
Lieutenant Governor Vicegobernador	Vote for One Vote por Uno	UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE REPRESENTANTE DE LOS ESTADOS UNIDOS	Vote for One Vote por Uno
GAVIN NEWSOM Mayor, City and County of San Francisco Alcalde, Ciudad y condado de San Francisco	↓	41st Congressional District Distrito Congresional Núm. 41	↓
ABEL MALDONADO Senator/Businessman/Farmer Senador/Empresario/Agricultor	↓	JERRY LEWIS U.S. Representative Representante de EE.UU.	↓
JAMES "JIMI" CASTILLO Cultural Spiritual Advisor Asesor espiritual cultural	↓	PAT MEAGHER Retired Educator Educador jubilado	↓
C.T. WEBER Retired Government Analyst Analista gubernamental jubilado	↓	MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY MIEMBRO DE LA ASAMBLEA DEL ESTADO	Vote for One Vote por Uno
JIM KING Real Estate Broker Corredor de bienes raíces	↓	65th Assembly District Distrito de la Asamblea Núm. 65	↓
PAMELA J. BROWN Economics Professor Profesora de economía	↓	PAUL COOK Member of the State Assembly Miembro de la Asamblea del Estado	↓

Treasurer / Tesorero		Attorney General Procurador General	
Democrat Demócrata	Vote for One Vote por Uno	Democrat Demócrata	Vote for One Vote por Uno
BILL LOCKYER California State Treasurer Tesorero del Estado de California	↓	KAMALA D. HARRIS District Attorney, City and County of San Francisco Fiscal, Ciudad y Condado de San Francisco	↓
American Independent Americano Independiente	↓	TIMOTHY J. HANNAN Attorney/Arbitrator/Mediator Abogado/Árbitro/Mediador	↓
ROBERT LAUTEN	↓	DIANE BEALL TEMPLIN Attorney/RE Broker Abogada/Corredora de bienes raíces	↓
DEBRA L. REIGER Retired Technology Manager Gerente de tecnología jubilada	↓	STEVE COOLEY District Attorney, County of Los Angeles Fiscal, Condado de Los Angeles	↓
EDWARD M. TEYSSIER Business Owner/Attorney Propietario de empresa/Abogado	↓	ROBERT J. EVANS Criminal Defense Lawyer Abogado de defensa penal	↓
CHARLES "KIT" CRITTENDEN Retired Professor Profesor jubilado	↓	PETER ALLEN Environmental Energy Attorney Abogado ambiental y de energía	↓
MIMI WALTERS Businesswoman Empresaria	↓		↓

CA33-1-256

SAMPLE BALLOT/BOLETA EJEMPLAR

Secretary of State Secretario de Estado		Vote for One Vote por Uno	
Green Verde	ANN MENASCHE Civil Rights Attorney Abogada de derechos civiles		
Republican Republicano	DAMON DUNN Small Business Owner Propietario de pequeña empresa		
Libertarian Libertario	CHRISTINA TOBIN Voting Rights Advocate Defensora del derecho al voto		
Peace and Freedom Paz y Libertad	MARYLOU CABRAL Community Volunteer Voluntaria comunitaria		
Democratic Demócrata	DEBRA BOWEN Secretary of State Secretaria de Estado		
American Independent Americano Independiente	MERTON D. SHORT Aviator Aviador		
Controller / Contralor		Vote for One Vote por Uno	
Republican Republicano	TONY STRICKLAND State Senator/Businessman Senador estatal/Empresario		
Peace and Freedom Paz y Libertad	KAREN MARTINEZ Retired Jubilada		
Democratic Demócrata	JOHN CHIANG Controller Contralor		
American Independent Americano Independiente	LAWRENCE G. BELIZ Independent Businessman Empresario independiente		
Green Verde	ROSS D. FRANKEL Accountant Contador		
Libertarian Libertario	ANDREW "ANDY" FAVOR Certified Public Accountant Contador público certificado		

CA33-1-256

Insurance Commissioner Comisionado de Seguros		Vote for One Vote por Uno	
American Independent Americano Independiente	CLAY PEDERSEN Retail Manager Gerente de ventas al por menor		
Peace and Freedom Paz y Libertad	DINA JOSEPHINE PADILLA Injured Worker Consultant Consultora de trabajadores lesionados		
Libertarian Libertario	RICHARD S. BRONSTEIN Licensed Insurance Broker Corredor de seguros autorizado		
Green Verde	WILLIAM BALDERSTON Teacher/Union Organizer Maestro/Organizador sindical		
Democratic Demócrata	DAVE JONES Member, California State Assembly Miembro, Asamblea del Estado de California		
Republican Republicano	MIKE VILLINES Businessman/State Assemblyman Empresario/Asambleista del Estado		
Member, State Board of Equalization District 3 Miembro de la Directiva de Impuestos Sobre Ventas, Uso y Otros Distrito 3		Vote for One Vote por Uno	
Peace and Freedom Paz y Libertad	MARY LOU FINLEY Special Education Assistant Asistente de educación especial		
Republican Republicano	MICHELLE STEEL Member, Board of Equalization Miembro, Directiva de Impuestos Sobre Ventas, Uso y Otros		
Libertarian Libertario	JERRY L. DIXON Certified Public Accountant Contador público certificado		
Democratic Demócrata	MARY CHRISTIAN HEISING Retired Businesswoman Empresaria jubilada		
American Independent Americano Independiente	TERRI LUSSENHEIDE Home School Teacher Maestra escolar hogareña		

Democratic Demócrata		Vote for One Vote por Uno	
CARL WOOD Job Development Coordinator Coordinador de desarrollo laboral			
JUDICIAL / JUDICIAL VOTE YES OR NO FOR EACH OFFICE VOTE SÍ O NO POR CADA OFICINA			
FOR CHIEF JUSTICE OF CALIFORNIA POR JEFE PRESIDENTE DE CALIFORNIA			
Shall Associate Justice, Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, TANI G. CANTIL- SAKAUYE be elected to the office for the term provided by law? ¿Deberá TANI G. CANTIL-SAKAUYE , Juez Asociada de la Corte de Apelación del Tercer Distrito de Apelaciones, ser elegida por votación a la oficina por el periodo que dispone la ley?		YES Sí	NO NO
FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT POR JEFE ASOCIADO DE LA CORTE SUPREMA			
Shall Associate Justice of the Supreme Court, MING W. CHIN be elected to the office for the term provided by law? ¿Deberá MING W. CHIN , Juez Asociado de la Corte Suprema, ser elegida por votación a la oficina por el periodo que dispone la ley?		YES Sí	NO NO
FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT POR JEFE ASOCIADO DE LA CORTE SUPREMA			
Shall Associate Justice of the Supreme Court, CARLOS R. MORENO be elected to the office for the term provided by law? ¿Deberá CARLOS R. MORENO , Juez Asociado de la Corte Suprema, ser elegida por votación a la oficina por el periodo que dispone la ley?		YES Sí	NO NO

(2EN1)

SAMPLE BALLOT/BOLETA EJEMPLAR

JUDICIAL / JUDICIAL VOTE YES OR NO FOR EACH OFFICE VOTE SI O NO POR CADA OFICINA		BEAUMONT-CHERRY VALLEY RECREATION AND PARK DISTRICT BEAUMONT-CHERRY VALLEY Director / Director	
FOR PRESIDING JUSTICE, COURT OF APPEAL DISTRICT 4, DIVISION 1 POR JUEZ PRESIDENTE, CORTE DE APELACIÓN DISTRITO 4, DIVISIÓN 1		Vote for no more than Two Vote por no más de Dos DONNA M. FRANCO Incumbent Titular	
Shall Presiding Justice, Court of Appeal, District 4, Division 1, JUDITH D. MCCONNELL be elected to the office for the term provided by law? ¿Deberá JUDITH D. MCCONNELL, Juez Presidente de la Corte de Apelación, Distrito 4, División 1, ser elegida por votación a la oficina por el periodo que dispone la ley?		CHRIS DIERCKS Senior Building Inspector Inspector de edificio de mayor	
FOR PRESIDING JUSTICE, COURT OF APPEAL DISTRICT 4, DIVISION 2 POR JUEZ PRESIDENTE, CORTE DE APELACIÓN DISTRITO 4, DIVISIÓN 2		NANCY E. HALL Certified Equine Therapist Certificada de terapia de equina	
Shall Presiding Justice, Court of Appeal, District 4, Division 2, MANUEL A. RAMIREZ be elected to the office for the term provided by law? ¿Deberá MANUEL A. RAMIREZ, Juez Presidente de la Corte de Apelación, Distrito 4, División 2, ser elegida por votación a la oficina por el periodo que dispone la ley?			
FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE, COURT OF APPEAL DISTRICT 4, DIVISION 2 POR JUEZ ASOCIADO, CORTE DE APELACIÓN DISTRITO 4, DIVISIÓN 2			
Shall Judge of the Superior Court of Riverside County CAROL D. CODRINGTON be elected to the office for the term provided by law? ¿Deberá CAROL D. CODRINGTON, Juez de la Corte Superior del Condado de Riverside, ser elegida a la oficina por el periodo que dispone la ley?			
FOR PRESIDING JUSTICE, COURT OF APPEAL DISTRICT 4, DIVISION 3 POR JUEZ PRESIDENTE, CORTE DE APELACIÓN DISTRITO 4, DIVISIÓN 3		COUNTY / CONDADO Auditor-Controller / Auditor-Contralor	
Shall Presiding Justice, Court of Appeal, District 4, Division 3, DAVID G. SILLS be elected to the office for the term provided by law? ¿Deberá DAVID G. SILLS, Juez Presidente de la Corte de Apelación, Distrito 4, División 3, ser elegida por votación a la oficina por el periodo que dispone la ley?		Vote for One Vote por Uno IVAN M. CHAND District Finance Director Director de finanzas del distrito	
		PAUL ANGULO Certified Public Accountant Contador público certificado	
		CITY / CIUDAD CITY OF CALIMESA CIUDAD DE CALIMESA City Council Member Miembro del Concejo Municipal	
		Vote for no more than Two Vote por no más de Dos JOHN KEITH Retired Jubilado	
		ARTHUR BRAVO GUERRERO Educator Educador	

SAMPLE BALLOT/BOLETA EJEMPLAR

SAMPLE BALLOT/BOLETA EJEMPLAR



CA33-2-EN1

MEASURES SUBMITTED TO THE VOTERS / MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS A LOS VOTANTES

STATE / ESTADO

19

LEGALIZES MARIJUANA UNDER CALIFORNIA BUT NOT FEDERAL LAW. PERMITS LOCAL GOVERNMENTS TO REGULATE AND TAX COMMERCIAL PRODUCTION, DISTRIBUTION, AND SALE OF MARIJUANA. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Allows people 21 years old or older to possess, cultivate, or transport marijuana for personal use. Fiscal Impact: Depending on federal, state, and local government actions, potential increased tax and fee revenues in the hundreds of millions of dollars annually and potential correctional savings of several tens of millions of dollars annually.

LEGALIZA LA MARIHUANA SEGÚN LA LEY DE CALIFORNIA, PERO NO LA LEY FEDERAL. PERMITE QUE LOS GOBIERNOS LOCALES REGULEN Y GRAVEN LA PRODUCCIÓN COMERCIAL, DISTRIBUCIÓN Y VENTA DE MARIHUANA. LEY POR INICIATIVA. Permite que las personas de 21 años de edad o mayores posean, cultiven o transporten marihuana para uso personal. Impacto fiscal: Dependiendo de los actos gubernamentales federales, estatales y locales, posible aumento de las recaudaciones impositivas y de cargos de cientos de millones de dólares anuales y posibles ahorros para correccionales de varias decenas de millones de dólares anuales.

YES

SI

NO

NO

20

REDISTRICTING OF CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT. Removes elected representatives from process of establishing congressional districts and transfers that authority to recently-authorized 14-member redistricting commission comprised of Democrats, Republicans, and representatives of neither party. Fiscal Impact: No significant net change in state redistricting costs.

REDISTRIBUCIÓN DE DISTRITOS DEL CONGRESO. ENMIENDA CONSTITUCIONAL POR INICIATIVA. Retira a los representantes elegidos por votación del proceso de establecer distritos del Congreso y transfiere esa autoridad a la comisión de 14 miembros recientemente autorizada a redistribuir distritos, formada por demócratas, republicanos y representantes de ninguno de esos dos partidos. Impacto fiscal: Sin cambios netos significativos para el estado en los costos de redistribución de distritos.

YES

SI

NO

NO

21

ESTABLISHES \$18 ANNUAL VEHICLE LICENSE SURCHARGE TO HELP FUND STATE PARKS AND WILDLIFE PROGRAMS. GRANTS SURCHARGED VEHICLES FREE ADMISSION TO ALL STATE PARKS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Exempts commercial vehicles, trailers and trailer coaches from the surcharge. Fiscal Impact: Annual increase to state revenues of \$500 million from surcharge on vehicle registrations. After offsetting some existing funding sources, these revenues would provide at least \$250 million more annually for state parks and wildlife conservation.

ESTABLECE UN RECARGO ANUAL DE \$18 SOBRE LAS MATRÍCULAS DE LOS VEHÍCULOS PARA AYUDAR A FINANCIAR LOS PARQUES ESTATALES Y LOS PROGRAMAS DE VIDA SILVESTRE. CONCEDE ENTRADA GRATUITA A TODOS LOS PARQUES ESTATALES A LOS VEHÍCULOS QUE PAGARON EL RECARGO. LEY POR INICIATIVA. Exime del recargo a los vehículos comerciales, los remolques y los camper. Impacto fiscal: Aumento anual de las recaudaciones del estado de \$500 millones proveniente del recargo sobre las matrículas de los vehículos. Después de contrarrestar algunas fuentes de financiamiento existentes, esas recaudaciones proporcionarían al menos \$250 millones anuales más para los parques estatales y la conservación de la vida silvestre.

YES

SI

NO

NO

22

PROHIBITS THE STATE FROM BORROWING OR TAKING FUNDS USED FOR TRANSPORTATION, REDEVELOPMENT, OR LOCAL GOVERNMENT PROJECTS AND SERVICES. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT. Prohibits State, even during severe fiscal hardship, from delaying distribution of tax revenues for these purposes. Fiscal Impact: Decreased state General Fund spending and/or increased state revenues, probably in the range of \$1 billion to several billions of dollars annually. Comparable increases in funding for state and local transportation programs and local redevelopment.

PROHIBE QUE EL ESTADO TOME EN PRESTAMO O SE APODERE DE FONDOS DESTINADOS A TRANSPORTE, REURBANIZACIÓN O PROYECTOS Y SERVICIOS DE GOBIERNOS LOCALES. ENMIENDA CONSTITUCIONAL POR INICIATIVA. Prohíbe que el Estado, incluso en épocas de grandes dificultades fiscales, aplaze la distribución de recaudaciones impositivas para estos fines. Impacto fiscal: Menor gasto del Fondo General del estado y/o mayores recaudaciones impositivas del estado, probablemente de entre \$1,000 millones a varios miles de millones de dólares anuales. Aumentos de financiamiento comparables para programas de transporte estatales y locales y la reurbanización local.

YES

SI

NO

NO

23

SUSPENDS IMPLEMENTATION OF AIR POLLUTION CONTROL LAW (AB 32) REQUIRING MAJOR SOURCES OF EMISSIONS TO REPORT AND REDUCE GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS THAT CAUSE GLOBAL WARMING, UNTIL UNEMPLOYMENT DROPS TO 5.5 PERCENT OR LESS FOR FULL YEAR. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Fiscal Impact: Likely modest net increase in state and local revenues. state from suspension of greenhouse gases regulatory activity, resulting in a potentially significant net increase in state and local revenues.

SUSPENDE LA IMPLEMENTACIÓN DE LA LEY DE CONTROL DE LA CONTAMINACIÓN DEL AIRE (AB 32) QUE REQUIERE QUE LAS PRINCIPALES FUENTES DE EMISIONES REPORTEN Y REDUZCAN LAS EMISIONES DE GASES DE EFECTO INVERNADERO QUE CAUSAN EL CALENTAMIENTO GLOBAL HASTA QUE EL DESEMPEÑO BAJE AL 5.5 POR CIENTO O MENOS POR UN AÑO COMPLETO. LEY POR INICIATIVA. Impacto fiscal: Probablemente un aumento neto modesto de la actividad económica general en el estado proveniente de la suspensión de la actividad de regulación de los gases de efecto invernadero que podría resultar en un aumento neto significativo de las recaudaciones estatales y locales.

YES

SÍ

NO

NO

24

REPEALS RECENT LEGISLATION THAT WOULD ALLOW BUSINESSES TO LOWER THEIR TAX LIABILITY. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Fiscal Impact: Increased state revenues of about \$1.3 billion each year by 2012-13 from higher taxes paid by some businesses. Smaller increases in 2010-11 and 2011-12.

DEROGA LEGISLACIÓN RECIENTE QUE PERMITIRÍA QUE LAS EMPRESAS REDUZIERAN SU OBLIGACIÓN TRIBUTARIA. LEY POR INICIATIVA. Impacto fiscal: Mayores recaudaciones estatales de unos \$1,300 millones anuales para 2012-13 provenientes de impuestos más elevados que pagarían algunas empresas. Menores aumentos en 2010-11 y 2011-12.

YES

SÍ

NO

NO

25

CHANGES LEGISLATIVE VOTE REQUIREMENT TO PASS BUDGET AND BUDGET-RELATED LEGISLATION FROM TWO-THIRDS TO A SIMPLE MAJORITY. RETAINS TWO-THIRDS VOTE REQUIREMENT FOR TAXES. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT. Legislature permanently forfeits daily salary and expenses until budget bill passes. Fiscal Impact: In some years, the contents of the state budget could be changed due to the lower legislative vote requirement in this measure. The extent of changes would depend on the Legislature's future actions.

CAMBIA EL REQUISITO DE VOTO LEGISLATIVO PARA APROBAR EL PRESUPUESTO Y LEGISLACIÓN RELACIONADA CON EL PRESUPUESTO DE LAS DOS TERCERAS PARTES A UNA MAYORÍA SIMPLE. MANTIENE EL REQUISITO DE LAS DOS TERCERAS PARTES DEL VOTO PARA IMPUESTOS. ENMIENDA CONSTITUCIONAL POR INICIATIVA. La Legislatura pierde permanentemente salario diario y gastos hasta que aprueba el proyecto de ley presupuestaria. Impacto fiscal: En algunos años el contenido del presupuesto del estado podría cambiar a causa del requisito de voto legislativo reducido de esta medida. El alcance de los cambios dependería de los actos futuros de la Legislatura.

YES

SÍ

NO

NO

26

REQUIRES THAT CERTAIN STATE AND LOCAL FEES BE APPROVED BY TWO-THIRDS VOTE. FEES INCLUDE THOSE THAT ADDRESS ADVERSE IMPACTS ON SOCIETY OR THE ENVIRONMENT CAUSED BY THE FEE-PAYER'S BUSINESS. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT. Fiscal Impact: Depending on decisions by governing bodies and voters, decreased state and local government revenues and spending (up to billions of dollars annually). Increased transportation spending and state General Fund costs (\$1 billion annually).

REQUIERE QUE CIERTAS CUOTAS ESTATALES Y LOCALES SEAN APROBADAS POR EL VOTO DE LAS DOS TERCERAS PARTES. LAS CUOTAS INCLUYEN LAS QUE ESTÁN DIRIGIDAS A IMPACTOS ADVERSOS SOBRE LA SOCIEDAD O EL MEDIO AMBIENTE CAUSADOS POR LAS ACTIVIDADES COMERCIALES DE LOS QUE PAGAN CUOTAS. ENMIENDA CONSTITUCIONAL POR INICIATIVA. Impacto fiscal: Dependiendo de las decisiones de los organismos gubernamentales y de los votantes, menores recaudaciones y gastos de los gobiernos estatal y locales (de hasta miles de millones de dólares anuales). Mayores gastos en transporte y mayores costos del Fondo General del estado (\$1,000 millones anuales).

YES

SÍ

NO

NO



MEASURES SUBMITTED TO THE VOTERS / MEDIDAS SOMETIDAS A LOS VOTANTES

STATE / ESTADO

27

ELIMINATES STATE COMMISSION ON REDISTRICTING. CONSOLIDATES AUTHORITY FOR REDISTRICTING WITH ELECTED REPRESENTATIVES. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE. Eliminates 14-member redistricting commission. Consolidates authority for establishing state Assembly, Senate, and Board of Equalization districts with elected representatives who draw congressional districts. Fiscal Impact: Possible reduction of state redistricting costs of around \$1 million over the next year. Likely reduction of these costs of a few million dollars once every ten years beginning in 2020.

ELIMINA LA COMISIÓN ESTATAL DE REDISTRIBUCIÓN DE DISTRITOS. CONSOLIDA LA AUTORIDAD DE REDISTRIBUCIÓN DE DISTRITOS EN REPRESENTANTES ELEGIDOS POR VOTACIÓN. ENMIENDA CONSTITUCIONAL Y LEY POR INICIATIVA. Elimina la comisión de 14 miembros de redistribución de distritos. Consolida la autoridad de establecer distritos para la Asamblea estatal, el Senado y la Directiva de Impuestos sobre Ventas, Uso y Otros en representantes elegidos por votación encargados de trazar distritos del Congreso. Impacto fiscal: Posible reducción de los costos del estado de redistribución de distritos de cerca de \$1 millón a lo largo del próximo año. Probable reducción de estos costos de varios millones de dólares una vez cada diez años a partir de 2020.

YES

SÍ

NO

NO

COUNTY / CONDADO

K

Shall Ordinance No. 10-002, amending RCTC's existing 2002 voter-approved ordinance to expedite construction of the following types of transportation projects:

- Widen freeways including State Routes 91, 71, 79, and Interstates 10, 15, 215
- Widen and improve major streets
- Expand Metrolink commuter train services

by increasing bonded indebtedness from \$500 to \$975 million of estimated receipts from the Measure A program, without increasing taxes, be approved?

¿Deberá ser aprobada la Ordenanza No. 10-002, que enmienda la ordenanza existente de la RCTC, aprobada por los votantes en 2002 para acelerar la construcción de los siguientes tipos de proyectos de transporte:

- Ampliar las autopistas, incluyendo las rutas estatales 91, 71, 79 e interestatales 10, 15, 215
- Ampliar y mejorar las calles principales
- Expandir los servicios Metrolink de ferrocarriles de cercanías aumentando el endeudamiento de bonos de \$500 a \$975 millones de los ingresos estimados provenientes del programa de la Medida A, sin aumentar los impuestos?

YES

SÍ

NO

NO

COUNTY / CONDADO

L

Shall the proposed Ordinance, requiring voter approval for increases or decreases in public safety employee retirement or pre-retirement death benefits and requiring that the County of Riverside continue the current CALPERS (California Public Employee Retirement System) retirement formula, be adopted?

¿Deberá ser adoptada la Ordenanza propuesta, que requiere aprobación de los votantes para incrementos o reducciones en los beneficios de jubilación de los empleados de seguridad pública o por muerte antes de la jubilación, y que requiere que el Condado de Riverside continúe usando la fórmula actual de jubilación de CALPERS (Sistema de Jubilación de Empleados Públicos de California)?

YES

SÍ

NO

NO

COUNTY / CONDADO

M

Shall Ordinance No. 899, requiring voter approval for increases in public safety employee retirement benefits or decreases in job related pre-retirement death benefits, and allowing decreases in retirement benefits, be adopted?

¿Deberá ser adoptada la Ordenanza No. 899, que requiere aprobación de los votantes para incrementos en los beneficios de jubilación de los empleados de seguridad pública o para la disminución de beneficios relacionados con el trabajo por muerte antes de la jubilación, y que permite la disminución de beneficios de jubilación?

YES

SÍ

NO

NO


COUNTY / CONDADO

CA33-4-G1

SAMPLE BALLOT/BOLETA EJEMPLAR

SAMPLE BALLOT/BOLETA EJEMPLAR

SCHOOL / ESCOLAR	
MT. SAN JACINTO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT, SCHOOL FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 1	
DISTRITO DE MEJORAMIENTO DE INSTALACIONES ESCOLARES NÚM. 1 DE MT. SAN JACINTO COMMUNITY COLLEGE	
U To create good local jobs; provide local job training for careers, including paramedics, nursing assistants, law enforcement and criminal justice; and provide affordable local access to courses students need to transfer to universities, shall Mt. San Jacinto Community College District build the first phase of a campus to serve the Beaumont and Banning area by issuing \$47 million in bonds, at legal rates, with state matching funds, independent oversight, no funds for administrator salaries and all funds staying local? Para crear buenos empleos locales, proporcionar capacitación laboral local para carreras profesionales, incluyendo paramédicos, asistentes de enfermería, cumplimiento de la ley y justicia penal, y proporcionar acceso asequible local a cursos que los estudiantes necesitan para transferirse a universidades, ¿deberá el Distrito de Universidades Comunitarias de Mt. San Jacinto construir la primera fase de un campus para servir la zona de Beaumont y Banning mediante la emisión de \$47 millones en bonos, a tasas legales, con fondos paralelos del Estado, supervisión independiente, sin fondos para salarios administrativos y requiriendo que todos los fondos permanezcan locales?	BONDS YES BONOS SI BONDS NO BONOS NO



CA33-4-G1



SAMPLE BALLOT/BOLETA EJEMPLAR

VOTER'S PAMPHLET INFORMATION SECTION

The following pages contain voter information applicable to your ballot which may include the following items:

- CANDIDATE STATEMENTS
- BALLOT MEASURES
- ANALYSES
- ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT & IN OPPOSITION
- TEXT OF MEASURES

This pamphlet section may not contain a complete list of candidates. A complete list of candidates appears on the Sample Ballot section of this pamphlet. Each candidate's statement in this pamphlet is volunteered by the candidate and is printed at the expense of the candidate unless otherwise determined by the governing body. Deposit amounts required for publication of candidate statements are listed at www.voteinfo.net.

Statements made on the following pages represent the views of the authors and are not endorsed by the County of Riverside. Internet web site addresses included in any statements are provided by the authors. The County of Riverside assumes no liability for the accuracy or content of web sites listed therein.

Arguments in support of or in opposition to the ballot measures are opinions of the authors.

The text, grammar, and spelling are as submitted by the authors.

Campaign Finance Reform

Among all state legislative candidates appearing on ballots in Riverside County, the following persons have pledged to abide by campaign spending limits as specified in the California Government Code. Candidates agreeing to the limits have the opportunity to publish a statement of qualifications in the local sample ballot pamphlet.

This list covers all legislative districts in the County. Not all districts will appear on your ballot.

STATE SENATE, 36TH DISTRICT

Paul Clay, Democratic
Joel Anderson, Republican

STATE ASSEMBLY, 65TH DISTRICT

Carl Wood, Democratic
Paul Cook, Republican

STATE SENATE, 40TH DISTRICT

Juan Vargas, Democratic
Brian Hendry, Republican

STATE ASSEMBLY, 66TH DISTRICT

Douglas P. Dye, Democratic
Kevin D. Jeffries, Republican

STATE ASSEMBLY, 63RD DISTRICT

Renea Wickman, Democratic

STATE ASSEMBLY, 71ST DISTRICT

Gary Kephart, Democratic

STATE ASSEMBLY, 64TH DISTRICT

Jose Medina, Democratic
Brian Nestande, Republican

**CANDIDATE STATEMENT FOR
UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE,
41ST CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT**

PAT MEAGHER, Democratic

AGE: 58

OCCUPATION: Retired Educator

EDUCATION AND QUALIFICATIONS:

Pat Meagher is married and is the father of nine children, seven of whom are adopted. Two of his children have served in the military in Iraq and Afghanistan. Pat has B.S. and M.A. from Ball State University and has also attended Purdue University, UCLA and the University of La Verne. Pat and his family live in Forest Falls. He is recently retired after a 34 year career as a teacher and then Principal. He is very active in his community and church.

Pat believes that our Representative should be very accessible to the voters in the district. He believes in face-to-face town hall meetings, having multiple offices throughout this large district, including a mobile office so that constituents have access to service.

Pat believes that government should be working for the middle class and that our highest priority should be job creation. He believes that we should separate banking from investing so that the banks can loan to homeowners and small businesses. Over 70% of our jobs are in small businesses and they hold the key to economic recovery along with control of government spending. As a former educator Pat believes that there should be more local control of schools, a reduction of the influence of high stakes single focused tests, and a return to more electives and vocational education. Pat believes that immigration is a federal issue that demands a strong federal response starting with securing our borders.

**CANDIDATE STATEMENT FOR
MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY,
65TH ASSEMBLY DISTRICT**

PAUL COOK, Republican

OCCUPATION: Assemblyman / Retired US Marine Colonel

EDUCATION AND QUALIFICATIONS:

We need REAL ACTION, not political games, bailout schemes or empty promises to fix the budget, secure our border, protect families and get California back to work! That's why I am asking for your vote.

I will continue to listen to your concerns and take action to oppose higher taxes, create jobs, protect families, balance the budget and secure our border. I'll continue pushing to eliminate pay for the Governor and every Legislator when they fail to pass a balanced budget on time.

That's why I pushed to punish corrupt officials, eliminate special benefits for illegal immigrants, keep sexual predators away from children, and provide help to injured veterans. And, I have stood up to lobbyists and special interests who are waging war on our wallets and our values.

I've put my PRINCIPLES and my experience as a Combat Leader, Mayor, and "maverick" Assemblymember to work solving real problems.

The California Taxpayers Association has given me a 100% rating and I'm proud to have earned the support of every leading tax-fighter and law enforcement organization in the County.

But more importantly, I'd appreciate your support – and your vote.

Paul Cook: Decorated US Marine. Proven Tax-Fighter. Trusted Leader.

I've spent my lifetime defending America and the values that make us strong – a fight I will continue in the State Assembly. To learn more or to join my campaign, visit my website: www.JoinPaulCook.com

**CANDIDATE STATEMENT FOR
MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY,
65TH ASSEMBLY DISTRICT**

CARL WOOD, Democratic

AGE: 62

OCCUPATION: Job Development Coordinator

EDUCATION AND QUALIFICATIONS:

It's time for a change. We need effective leadership in Sacramento that works to get real results for us in the Inland Empire. We need JOBS - not more empty promises. As a Job Development Specialist I have obtained MILLIONS FOR NEW JOBS AND TRAINING. I know how to get things done in Sacramento and I am committed to bringing jobs and opportunity to the Inland Empire.

I have a record of public service defending working families and small businesses from powerful corporations trying to pick our pockets.

As a California Public Utilities Commissioner DURING THE ENERGY CRISIS,

- I stopped rolling blackouts;
- Protected families and businesses from predatory power companies;
- And, SAVED US BILLIONS OF DOLLARS AND THOUSANDS OF JOBS.

I worked for 20 years as an electrician in steel mills and power plants. I know hard work and I will stand up to special interests and career politicians to make our government work for us.

My family is my rock. My wife of 37 years, my children and grandchildren are in this with me. My wife is a nurse. My daughter is a teacher. We want to put California back on track for the grandchildren – that means jobs; education; help for HOMEOWNERS; and security for our FAMILIES, SENIORS and VETERANS.

I am proud to be endorsed by the California Teachers Association and the California Nurses Association.

If you want hard work, honest representation and results, I need your vote.

Please visit my website at www.wood4assembly.org

**CANDIDATE STATEMENT FOR
GOVERNING BOARD MEMBER,
BEAUMONT UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT**

SUSAN M. LARA

OCCUPATION: Incumbent

EDUCATION AND QUALIFICATIONS:

I have been a resident of the Beaumont Unified School District for 20 years. My husband and I are raising our family here and our children attend Beaumont schools.

It has been an honor to serve our community as a member of the School Board for the last five years.

During my time on the Board, I have worked hard to sustain the high quality of education while maintaining the district's fiscal solvency during these very difficult times. Our test scores are some of the highest in Southern California which is a testament of our excellent teaching staff.

I am dedicated to serving this district by learning from the past, focusing on the present, and planning for the future.

I am proud of the quality education I have helped to provide during my tenure on the Board of Trustees. I hope you will allow me to continue to serve as your representative. I respectfully ask for your vote. Thank you.

**CANDIDATE STATEMENT FOR
GOVERNING BOARD MEMBER,
BEAUMONT UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT**

THOMAS M. LAYTE

AGE: 54

OCCUPATION: Licensed Psychotherapist

EDUCATION AND QUALIFICATIONS:

Thomas "Tom" Layte is a great choice to represent you and your family as a Beaumont Unified School Board member. Tom holds a Masters Degree in Social Work from San Diego State University and is a Licensed Clinical Social Worker. His leadership experience comes from both Public and Private sector roles. He fulfilled the roles of Banning Planning Commission Chairperson, Supervisor - Riverside County Department of Mental Health, Program Director -San Geronio Memorial Hospital and Contract Counselor to at risk students at the Beaumont High School campus. He is currently an advisory board alternate at Beaumont High School, a Kiwanian, Sierra Club Member and Freemason.

Tom needs your vote so he can protect "Student Safety", "Teacher Morale" and "Educational Quality". He will work tirelessly by reviewing District spending policies to ensure that District Residents will not be asked again to support new bond measures. He will focus on innovations that will increase "Alternative Income Streams". Tom supports the gains made in core curriculum but will endorse future curriculum choices that focus on bringing Beaumont students a "World Class Curriculum." It is Tom's desire to continue Beaumont Schools' tradition of bringing "Educational Excellence" to the families and students of the District.

**CANDIDATE STATEMENT FOR
GOVERNING BOARD MEMBER,
BEAUMONT UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT**

DAVID ANTHONY SANCHEZ

AGE: 42

OCCUPATION: Science Teacher

EDUCATION AND QUALIFICATIONS:

Dear Voter,

I respect and appreciate the position of trust you have granted me these past nine years on the Beaumont School Board. I ask that you allow me the opportunity to serve another term, to support our great school district, teachers, and support staffs that continue to make our community proud.

I bring with me a wealth of experiences to draw upon and a personality that helps foster trust and lasting relationships. I am not a "fake" person and truly enjoy helping other. I am a self-energizing powerhouse when a task needs to get completed and one that is quick to find other resources or means when told, "No, it can't be done," a talent that is especially needed during these fiscally restrictive years.

This is one of those times were a record speaks for itself and I stand before you and our students, asking for your continued support.

Sincerely,

*David Anthony Sanchez
Beaumont School Board Trustee, Incumbent.*

**CANDIDATE STATEMENT FOR
GOVERNING BOARD MEMBER,
BEAUMONT UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT**

MARGARET DELONGCHAMP

OCCUPATION: Parent / Business Owner

EDUCATION AND QUALIFICATIONS:

I'm proud to say that I was born and raised in this community. Our town is a great place to raise a family and as a small business owner and parent, I know the value of a quality education. I myself am a product of Beaumont Schools and my children attend school here as well. If elected, I will bring a parent's perspective to the District. In Addition, during these tough economic times, it's important that our education dollars are used effectively and efficiently. As a member of the Board of Trustees, I'll use my experience as a parent and a business owner to ensure that the school district continues to provide a high quality education. I'll work to ensure create an environment that encourages more parental involvement, and I'll fight to protect students on campus by providing a safe learning environment.

Also, I'll work to retain high quality teachers and administrators and hold them accountable for our children's education. I'll also work with teachers to ensure that they have all the tools necessary to provide our kids a great education. I would greatly appreciate your help in electing a parent to the District. Thank you.

**CANDIDATE STATEMENT FOR
RIVERSIDE COUNTY
AUDITOR-CONTROLLER**

IVAN M. CHAND

AGE: 50

OCCUPATION: Finance Director

EDUCATION AND QUALIFICATIONS:

I'd like to thank everyone who voted for me in the June election. Your support resulted in an overwhelming victory in which I earned over 44% of the vote, making me the leading vote getter by a large margin. Now in a run-off election, I need your vote one more time so I can protect our tax dollars as your County Auditor-Controller.

Fiscal Responsibility

My top priority is to protect taxpayer dollars. Local government must end its addiction to unnecessary spending. I believe in balanced budgets that do not raise taxes or increase debt.

The Right Experience

My professional experience in finance, auditing, debt management, and investments uniquely qualifies me to serve as your Auditor-Controller during these difficult times. In my 25 years of service I have been your Assistant Auditor-Controller, County Flood Control District Finance Director, and Riverside County Transportation Commission Chief Financial Officer.

I've lived in Riverside County for over 30 years, have an accounting degree from La Sierra University, a Masters in Public Administration, and am a Certified Government Financial Manager.

Holding Government Accountable

I will work diligently to make the financial dealings of Riverside County more accountable and transparent.

I would greatly appreciate your vote.

www.chandforcountyauditor.com

**CANDIDATE STATEMENT FOR
RIVERSIDE COUNTY
AUDITOR-CONTROLLER**

PAUL ANGULO

AGE: 58

OCCUPATION: Certified Public Accountant

EDUCATION AND QUALIFICATIONS:

As your Auditor, I will provide strong leadership—bringing 25 years of private industry business experience that has taught me to listen, ask the right questions, and be proactive. Additionally, 8 years in the Auditor-Controller's Office has given me a clear understanding of its operations and essential functions. I will create an environment that ensures fiscal responsibility and accountability; and, I will bring unyielding ethics and integrity.

Action Plan

- Reduce Fraud through Steadfast Auditing
- Reduce Wasteful Spending and Abuse of Resources
- Reduce Labor Costs by Implementing Quality Controls and Streamlining Processes
- Improve Organizational Effectiveness through Root Cause Analyses of Systemic Failures
- Improve Transparency by forming a Citizens Oversight Committee
- Implement the Repair and Replacement Schedule Mandated by Law

Professional Experience

- Certified Public Accountant
- 8 Years Auditor-Controller's Office—Current
- 25 Years Private Industry Business and Management Experience
- University of Redlands, Masters—Management
- UC Berkeley, Bachelors—Political Science/Government
- US ARMY Veteran, Special Forces Trained Engineer

I am the best candidate because I am a licensed CPA with wide-ranging business experience and have been fighting for you since I joined the US Army in 1971.

Endorsed by the Riverside County Volunteer Firefighters Association
Critical issues on: www.paulangulo.com

**CANDIDATE STATEMENT FOR
CITY COUNCIL MEMBER,
CITY OF CALIMESA**

JOHN KEITH **AGE: 74**
OCCUPATION: Retired
EDUCATION AND QUALIFICATIONS:

Born in Hamilton, Ontario, Canada. Graduated from Central High School, Hamilton.

Legally migrated to the U.S. in 1958 with my wife, Patricia, and infant daughter. Pat and I have three grown children and seven grandchildren. We became naturalized and are very proud to be Americans.

I have 40 years experience in sales, supervision and management. My goals have always been to make work conditions better while keeping operational costs low. This ethic will work in government and it is my basic goal for Calimesa. Good management will insure a continued high level of services for police, fire and seniors.

After retirement, we moved to Plantation on the Lake and I was elected H.O.A. President (7-08-09). During that period, with the help of many residents, positive changes were implemented including: improved bingo accounting, relief from tax liabilities, healthy bank balance, started disaster plans.

Appointed to serve on Calimesa Public Works Commission under Director Bob French.

When elected, I look forward to working with staff and fellow council members to continue making Calimesa a great place to live.

I need and ask for your vote on November 2nd.

**CANDIDATE STATEMENT FOR
CITY COUNCIL MEMBER,
CITY OF CALIMESA**

ARTHUR BRAVO GUERRERO **AGE: 48**
OCCUPATION: Educator
EDUCATION AND QUALIFICATIONS:

**WORKING FOR COMMUNITY SERVICE AND SUPPORT FOR OUR
GREAT CITY OF CALIMESA!**

- Arthur Bravo Guerrero:
- Received The 2008 Nomination for State Senator –Riverside County
- Army Retired Veteran
- Master's Degree – Education: School Counseling
- Bachelor's of Science Degree – Computer Information Systems
- Life Time Southern Californian
- Lived in Calimesa for 17 years
- Former Calimesa Open Space, Beautification Commission
- Adopt-A-Highway Field Leader for 3 to 4 years.
- Provided my support in Adult Literacy at City Public Libraries located in Riverside and San Bernardino Counties
- Member of the American Legion(s)
- Sergeant of Arms for the American GI Forum of California Inland Empire Chapter
- Currently applying for my Education Learning Center non-profit organization for Southern Californians for free community services in the future, such as, guidance and individual counseling, veterans assistance, adult literacy, K-12 and adult tutoring, computer literacy, and various other community resource assistance

So who is the right candidate for Calimesa City Council?

You can count on me to listen and assist you!

So make your vote count, and make the right choice! Thank You!

Arthur Bravo Guerrero, Educator
For Calimesa City Council

**CANDIDATE STATEMENT FOR
CITY COUNCIL MEMBER,
CITY OF CALIMESA**

JAMES HYATT **AGE: 66**
OCCUPATION: Retired
EDUCATION AND QUALIFICATIONS:

Personal: Married to Brenda, 4 children, 7 grandchildren. Garden Grove HS graduate. Two years Orange Coast College, Calimesa resident since 1973.

Experience highlights: ARCO/AM-PM owner, newspaper circulation manager, CEO Guide Dogs of Desert. Currently serving as Calimesa Mayor.

Associations: Past President Yucaipa Valley Riding Club and Calimesa Chamber of Commerce; current President of Valley Mesa Garden Club; member Friends Calimesa Library.

Government experience: Served as Chairman of County Service Area 74 Advisory Committee that funded/built Younglove Senior Center; served on the Calimesa Incorporation Committee in 1988-90; serving 3rd term on Calimesa City Council—4 years as Mayor, City representative on regional boards: Riverside Transit Agency (2 years Chairman); Western Riverside County Regional Conservation Authority; and Western Riverside Council of Governments.

Hobbies: playing Bridge, gardening, book and coin collecting, music.

Goals: To continue sound City fiscal practices; to support the great City Staff; to secure needed local businesses; to seek funding for 4th Street Park and new Library; to volunteer/support Senior Center activities and to retain Family Services Assoc. as management; and to have a harmonious City Council.

I need and ask for your vote on Tuesday, November 2nd. Please vote Jim Hyatt for Calimesa City Council.

**CANDIDATE STATEMENT FOR
CITY COUNCIL MEMBER,
CITY OF CALIMESA**

JEFF HEWITT **AGE: 57**
EDUCATION AND QUALIFICATIONS:

I have lived in Calimesa since 1992 and my father had property here since the 1960's. I believe Calimesa has a unique personality that can be preserved without shutting the doors to everyone. Our semi-rural layout and distinct downtown area gives us a flavor that does not commonly exist in the inland area. I have sat on the Planning Commission for six years and currently chair this body. I would like to see improved relations with our neighbor cities, Yucaipa and Beaumont and will work diligently toward this end, if elected. Keeping a city budget within its means is also very important, especially in these economic times. I don't believe it is my job or any other city council's job to make declarations regarding controversial laws in other jurisdictions. As council member I would strive to do city business only and leave moral or other determinations up to you, the resident, as should I. If elected, I will try my hardest to earn the public trust that I have been chosen to receive.

**CANDIDATE STATEMENT FOR
DIRECTOR,
BEAUMONT-CHERRY VALLEY RECREATION AND PARK DISTRICT**

CHRISTOPHER R. DIERCKS

AGE: 53

OCCUPATION: Senior Building Inspector

EDUCATION AND QUALIFICATIONS:

17 years ago my wife Shari and I settled in Beaumont to raise our family. Though I commute daily to the City of Whittier as a Senior Building Inspector, I wanted to become involved in my community.

I have been a board member of Beaumont Youth Baseball for 16 years and President for the past 10. Consequently, I have a working relationship with the Beaumont-Cherry Valley Recreation and Parks District. I regularly attend meetings and am apprised to their current issues.

The District has expanded athletic fields, recreational opportunities in theatre, dog parks, summer programs and special events. BCVRPD has refurbished the equestrian center, paved the parking lots and connected to the City sewer system. The refurbished Cherry Valley Grange and Beaumont Women's Club make the District extremely versatile.

As a future Board member, I will work to ensure fiscal responsibility, staff accountability and help to navigate the progressive direction of the District. I will strive to maintain the Emergency Base Camp at Noble Creek Park so the region is fully prepared for natural disasters.

We live here because the quality of life in Beaumont is appealing, vote for Chris Diercks so we can continue on that path.

**CANDIDATE STATEMENT FOR
DIRECTOR,
BEAUMONT-CHERRY VALLEY RECREATION AND PARK DISTRICT**

NANCY E. HALL

OCCUPATION: Certified Equine Therapist

EDUCATION AND QUALIFICATIONS:

I believe a Recreation and Parks District should offer a variety of activities encompassing all age groups. My diversified background and experiences will bring positive and innovative ideas that will enhance programs and create more participants.

Currently completing my veterinary technician degree, I am a certified equine therapist and own Equine Wellness Services. For 30 years, I have taught dog obedience classes and been a 4-H leader. I have organized and co-chaired many successful fundraisers and events including the Canine Celebration, held at Cal Expo and Beaumont Market Day in 1996. I was appointed by, Supervisor Marion Ashley, to the Blue Ribbon Groundwater Quality Evaluation Committee for Cherry Valley. I have a certificate in Hazardous Materials Management from U.C. Davis and have taught classes on toxic materials in the classroom.

My family and I have lived in Beaumont for over 18 years and have participated in numerous community activities. My husband and I were founding members of the San Geronio Mounted Sheriff's Posse at the Banning Station. Having experience as an entrepreneur, organizer, event coordinator and committee member, demonstrates my ability to serve on this board.

MEASURE "K" – COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

ORDINANCE NO. 10-002

AN ORDINANCE OF THE RIVERSIDE COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION REQUESTING THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE TO CONDUCT A SPECIAL ELECTION ON NOVEMBER 2, 2010 FOR THE PURPOSE OF SUBMITTING TO THE ELECTORS OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY A MEASURE WHICH WOULD MODIFY THE COMMISSION'S AUTHORIZATION LIMIT TO ISSUE LIMITED TAX BONDS AND, IF APPROVED, WOULD AUTHORIZE SUCH INCREASE.

WHEREAS, on November 5, 2002, the voters of Riverside County approved Measure "A", authorizing the Riverside County Transportation Commission (Commission) to impose a retail transactions and use tax of one-half percent for a period of thirty (30) years to fund certain transportation improvements and programs serving Riverside County; and

WHEREAS, the Commission has determined that in order to expedite the construction of improvements and implementation of programs funded with the Measure "A" retail transactions and use tax, it is desirable to modify the maximum aggregate principal amount of limited tax bonds, which the Commission may have outstanding at any time; and

WHEREAS, state law requires that the maximum amount of limited tax bonds which the Commission have outstanding at any time be approved by a majority of the voters casting ballots on the issue;

NOW, THEREFORE, THE RIVERSIDE COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION HEREBY ORDAINS AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1: Request for Election. The Commission requests the Riverside County Board of Supervisors to call an election seeking voter approval of a measure (the Measure), which would modify the bond limit as set forth in Section 2, below. The election shall be held on November 2, 2010, and may be consolidated with other elections to be held on that date. Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 240303, the election shall be called and conducted in the same manner as provided by law for the conduct of elections by the county and the sample ballot shall comply with the requirements of Public Utilities Code Section 240308(d). Approval of the Measure shall require a vote of a majority of the electors voting on the Measure at the election described in this section.

SECTION 2: Modification of Bond Limit. Upon the approval of a majority of the electors voting on the Measure at the November 2, 2010 election, the maximum aggregate principal amount of limited tax bonds which may be outstanding at any one time under Section VI of Ordinance 02-001 of the Riverside County Transportation Commission shall be increased to \$975 million.

SECTION 3: Approval by Commission; Effective Date. This Ordinance has been adopted by a two-thirds vote of the Board of Directors of the Commission. If approved by the electors, the Ordinance shall take effect at the close of the polls on November 2, 2010.

SECTION 4: Status of Ordinance No. 02-001. Except as expressly amended herein, all other provisions of Ordinance No. 02-001 shall remain in full force and effect. This ordinance shall not affect the nature of the Measure "A" sales tax, the maximum tax rate, the purposes for which the revenue derived from the tax shall be used, the term of the tax, or the Expenditure Plan adopted as part of Measure "A."

SECTION 5: Further Acts. The Executive Director is hereby authorized to take all actions necessary and proper to implement this Ordinance, including, without limitation, the execution of related documents, agreements or other instruments or correcting technical errors in this Ordinance or related documents.

SECTION 6: Severability. If any provision(s) of this Ordinance is (are) for any reason held invalid or unenforceable by a court of competent jurisdiction, that holding shall not affect the validity or enforceability of the remaining portions of this Ordinance or Ordinance No. 02-001, and the Commission declares that it would have passed each and every part of this Ordinance irrespective of the validity of any other part.

APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 14th Day of July, 2010

Bob Buster, Chair
Riverside County Transportation Commission

ATTEST:

Jennifer Harmon
Clerk of the Board

IMPARTIAL ANALYSIS OF MEASURE “K”

In November 2002, the voters of Riverside County approved a 30-year renewal of the transportation sales tax known as Measure “A” to fund certain transportation improvements and programs in Riverside County. Measure “A,” as required by state law, includes a limit on the amount of bonded indebtedness that can be utilized to finance projects. The current limitation on bonded indebtedness backed by Measure “A” revenue, in the aggregate principal amount at any one time outstanding, is not to exceed \$500 million.

This measure, if adopted, would increase the current limitation on bonded indebtedness backed by Measure “A” revenue, in the aggregate principal amount at any one time outstanding, to \$975 million.

An increase in the amount of the bonded indebtedness will not impact the transportation sales tax imposed. An increase in the amount of the bonded indebtedness will allow construction of certain transportation projects to be expedited.

A majority of the electors voting on this measure must vote “yes” in order for the measure to pass. Passage of the measure will result in Ordinance 10-002 of the Riverside County Transportation Commission becoming effective at the close of polls on November 2, 2010.

By: Dana M. Smith,
Deputy County Counsel

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE “K”

In this economy, nothing is more important than providing jobs – high-paying jobs located here in Riverside County. More importantly we need to create these jobs NOW!

Thanks to record low interest rates and lower bids on new construction, we can reshape Riverside County’s transportation system earlier so that we can better plan for traffic, improve public safety, and provide for a brighter economic future. Most importantly, Measure “K” does not raise, lengthen or effect current tax rates now — or in the future.

Proven Track Record

Measure “K” is based on previous Riverside County voter approval of Measure “A”, a half cent transportation sales tax program. By a two-thirds vote margin, Measure “A” guaranteed implementation of a number of voter-approved projects, they include:

- Widening the 91 Freeway in Riverside and Corona
- Widening I-215
- Improving freeway interchanges in the Coachella Valley, Riverside, Murrieta, Temecula, Moreno Valley and Mira Loma
- Expanding Metrolink service to Moreno Valley and Perris
- Improving major streets throughout the county

Invest in Jobs and Economic Recovery

The purpose of this measure is to allow the Riverside County Transportation Commission to sell an additional \$475 million in bonds to finance voter approved transportation projects. It’s a modest increase over the current level, limits indebtedness to less than 25 percent of the Measure “A” revenues and is based on strict fiscal safeguards.

No New Taxes!

Most importantly, this will be done without raising or modifying the existing Measure “A” tax rate in any way. Investing in additional projects NOW will:

- Create more than 25,000 construction jobs.
- Ensure lower project costs.
- Lower commute times
- Provide additional Metrolink service

Measure “K” will enable Riverside County to invest in voter-approved projects sooner to benefit taxpayers, and do so WITH NO INCREASE IN TAXES.

Vote YES on Measure “K” and help our County recover and succeed NOW!

By: Marion Ashley,
Chairman, Riverside County Board of Supervisors

Kay S. Cenicerros,
Retired Educator

Mary H. Curtin,
Former Secretary/Treasurer Central Labor Council, AFL-CIO

Richard R. Oliphant,
Founder and Board Member, Coachella Valley Economic Partnership

G. Richard Olds, M.D.,
Dean, University of California, Riverside, School of Medicine

REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE “K”

Wasteful spending by national, state, and local government is increasing the public debt, bringing calls for higher taxes, and costing people jobs.

The politicians pushing Measure “K” hope voters will ignore that and allow them to borrow nearly \$1 billion in the promise of job creation.

They claim Measure “K” approves a “modest increase” in the debt limit. Raising the debt limit 95% to borrow nearly \$1 billion is hardly modest. It is obscene.

The backers of Measure “K” promise that it will create more than 25,000 jobs. That is a highly questionable claim that sounds much like an empty promise we’ve all heard before from other politicians.

Last year, Congress passed a \$787 billion Economic Stimulus bill that dramatically increased the national debt promising it would create jobs. The President signed the bill promising it would put an end to rising unemployment.

That didn’t happen. Despite the increased deficit spending, unemployment still went up. In Riverside County our unemployment rate was 8.5% before the Stimulus. Today our unemployment rate has soared to 15.3%.

If these borrow and spend policies could not pull our nation out this economic slump, we should not expect them to help Riverside County’s economic recovery.

The Federal Debt is over \$13 trillion and growing. The State’s Debt is set to rise to over \$131 billion. Riverside County’s Debt is \$2.5 billion.

Help break this constant cycle of borrowing by our politicians and force government to live within its means.

Vote No on Measure “K”.

By: Brian Floyd,
Riverside County Taxpayers For Accountable Government

Michael S. Geller,
Moreno Valley Taxpayers Association

ARGUMENT AGAINST MEASURE "K"

Riverside County is \$2.5 billion in debt. Last year, the County spent over \$89 million of taxpayer money to pay the interest on its long-term debt.

Voters should reject Measure "K" because it will allow politicians to increase the amount of debt that we taxpayers will be responsible for paying back with interest.

In a previous election voters were asked to tax themselves to help fund transportation projects. Voters approved this tax with the requirement that our government would limit the amount of debt for these projects to \$500 million.

After voters approved this tax, the politicians trusted with spending the money now claim they need to take on a much higher level of debt. They want to virtually double the amount of debt they can incur for these infrastructure projects and raise the debt limit to an astonishing \$975 million.

The principle and interests payments on such debt will easily be over \$1 billion.

During these tough economic times our scarce tax dollars are greatly needed for the vital services our communities require. Our money should not be spent making even larger interest payments to make already rich Wall Street bond sellers even richer.

When the average person maxes out a credit card and is only making the minimum monthly payment, the credit card company doesn't simply come in and double the cardholders credit limit. We should not be doing that for our local politicians.

Government must live within its means. Instead of allowing government to saddle us with more debt and greater interest payments, we need to stand up and put an end to these borrow and spend policies.

It's time to stop government from borrowing money it doesn't have and hold our local politicians accountable for their spending by voting NO on Measure "K".

By: Michael S. Geller,
Moreno Valley Taxpayers Association

Brian Floyd,
Riverside County Taxpayers For Accountable Government

REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT AGAINST MEASURE "K"

Improve Riverside County roads and create jobs WITHOUT new Taxes! Join Riverside County business and community leaders in voting YES on Measure "K".

Tell our local officials to deliver needed transportation improvements TODAY.

Measure "K" will:

- **Improve our roads WITHOUT increasing taxes** for the General Fund of Riverside County or any other local government agency.
- Build on the success of the previously approved transportation improvement measure passed by two-thirds of County voters in 2002.
- **Deliver a VOTER APPROVED list of projects that widen our freeways, improve local streets, and support METROLINK service.**

Measure "K" allows the Riverside County Transportation Commission (RCTC), an agency of your local elected representatives, to finance transportation improvement projects when we need them....TODAY! This means voter approved projects will be built years ahead of schedule, saving costs, while creating thousands of good paying local construction jobs NOW!

TAXPAYER PROTECTIONS ARE REQUIRED. Measure "K" continues important taxpayer protections put in place by voters in 2002 requiring funding to be spent on VOTER APPROVED improvements to highways and local streets.

Measure "K" simply allows RCTC, with a proven track record for strict accountability, local control, and an unblemished credit rating, to invest in our County's future. Most importantly, it does this with NO INCREASE IN TAXES.

Please join us and vote for Improved Roads and More Jobs. **Vote YES on Measure "K"**.

By: MARION ASHLEY,
Chairman, Riverside County Board of Supervisors

KAY S. CENICEROS,
Retired Educator

MARY H. CURTIN,
Former Secretary/Treasurer,
Central Labor Council, AFL-CIO

RICHARD R. OLIPHANT,
Founder and Board Member,
Coachella Valley Economic Partnership

G. RICHARD OLDS M.D.,
Dean, University of California Riverside, School of Medicine

MEASURE “L” – COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

The People of the County of Riverside ordain as follows:

SECTION 1. TITLE.

This ordinance shall be known and may be cited as the *Public Safety and Taxpayer Protection Act of 2010*.

SECTION 2. FINDINGS.

- (a) Given current budgetary constraints, the People of Riverside County find that it is necessary and appropriate to protect taxpayers by prohibiting any increases in the current formula for retirement and death benefits provided to public safety employees without a vote of the electorate.
- (b) It is also the desire of the People of Riverside County to protect its public safety employees by ensuring that the widows and orphans of the County's firefighters, sheriff and other public safety employees are provided for if they are killed in the line of duty.
- (c) The People of Riverside County further determine that it will promote budgetary stability to prohibit changes in the formula for retirement and death benefits of public safety officers without a vote of the electorate, so as to allow the County to accurately forecast its future retirement expenditures.
- (d) The People of Riverside County further recognize that reducing the retirement benefits for current employees would be an unlawful impairment of contract in violation of Article I, Section 10 of the United States Constitution, as well as Article I, Section 9 of the Constitution of the State of California
- (e) The People of Riverside County find that fulfilling these goals – of freezing retirement benefits for public safety employees while ensuring that the families of public safety employees killed in the line of duty are provided for – will help the County continue to attract and retain skilled and experienced public safety employees by providing them and their families with predictability in their retirement and death benefits.

SECTION 3. Chapter 3.10 is added to the Riverside County Code to read:

CHAPTER 3.10 RETIREMENT BENEFITS FOR PUBLIC SAFETY EMPLOYEES

Section 3.10.010 Definitions.

For purposes of this Chapter, the following definitions apply:

- (a) “Retirement Benefits” means those retirement benefits offered to public safety employees and their survivors as of the effective date of this measure, and includes Service Retirement, Disability Retirement, Industrial Disability Retirement, Survivor Continuance, and Retiree Health Benefit.
- (b) “Death Benefit” means those pre-retirement death benefits offered to beneficiaries of public safety employees as of the effective date of this measure.
- (c) “Retirement Benefit Formula” means the retirement benefit formula currently in place for public safety employees, which is known as “3% @ 50”, and includes the current limitation on these benefits of 90% of final compensation.
- (d) “Retirement System” means the California Public Employee Retirement System (“CalPERS”) or any successor retirement system
- (e) “Public Safety Employees” means those employees who are defined as safety employees under CalPERS as of the effective date of this measure, including but not limited to firefighters and peace officers.

Section 3.10.020 Limitations on Changes To Retirement and Death Benefits.

The Board of Supervisors shall not take any action, by ordinance, resolution, or otherwise, which increases or decreases the retirement benefit formula of, or increases or decreases pre-retirement death benefits to the beneficiaries of, any past, current, or future public safety employee of Riverside County in the retirement system, without first obtaining the approval of a majority of those qualified electors voting on the matter. This section shall not apply to cost of living adjustments, salary increases, and annual leave or compensatory time cash outs.

Section 3.10.030 Effect Of Changes In Retirement System

This ordinance shall apply with equal force to any Retirement System in place now or in the future. If CalPERS ceases to offer the 3% @ 50 Benefit Formula, or if Riverside County ceases to contract with CalPERS for retirement and death benefits, the County shall nonetheless continue to offer the same retirement benefit formula and pre-retirement death benefits to public safety employees. If the same retirement benefit formula or the same pre-retirement death benefits are not available under the retirement system then in force, the County shall provide retirement and death benefits that are substantially similar.

SECTION 4. AMENDMENTS.

This ordinance shall not be modified, amended or repealed, except by a majority vote of those qualified electors in Riverside County voting on the matter.

SECTION 5. CONFLICTS AND COMPETING MEASURES.

- (a) In the event this ordinance conflicts with the terms of any other ordinance, resolution, or policy of the County, this ordinance shall control.
- (b) In the event that another measure ("competing measure") appears on the same ballot as this ordinance which seeks to adopt or impose provisions that differ in any regard to, or supplement, the provisions or requirements contained in this ordinance, the voters hereby expressly declare their intent that if both the competing measure and this ordinance receive a majority of votes cast, and if this ordinance receives a greater number of votes than the competing measure, this ordinance shall prevail in its entirety over the competing measure without regard to whether specific provisions of each measure directly conflict with each other.
- (c) In the event that the competing measure and this ordinance receive a majority of votes cast, and the competing measure receives a greater number of votes than this ordinance, this ordinance shall be deemed complementary to the competing measure. To this end, and to the maximum extent permitted by law, the provisions of this ordinance shall be fully adopted except to the extent that specific provisions contained in each measure are deemed to be in direct conflict with each other on a "provision by provision" basis pursuant to *Yoshisato v. Superior Court* (1992) 2 Cal.4th 978.

SECTION 6. SEVERABILITY.

If any section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause, phrase, or portion of this ordinance is, for any reason, held to be invalid or unconstitutional by the decision of any court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. The People of Riverside County hereby declare that they would have adopted the ordinance and each section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause, phrase, or portion thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, subdivisions, sentences, clauses, phrases, or portions thereof be declared invalid or unconstitutional.

SECTION 7. EFFECTIVE DATE.

The provisions of this ordinance shall be considered as adopted upon the date that the vote is declared by the Board of Supervisors and shall go into effect 10 days after that date, as provided for in Section 9122 of the California Elections Code.

IMPARTIAL ANALYSIS OF MEASURE "L"

This measure, if adopted, would prohibit the Riverside County Board of Supervisors from taking any action to increase or decrease the current retirement benefit formula or increase or decrease the current death benefits to any past, current or future public safety employee or past, current or future beneficiary, without first obtaining a majority vote of qualified electors who vote on the matter.

Voter approval would not be required for cost of living adjustments, salary increases, and annual leave or compensatory time cash-outs.

The ordinance would also require the County of Riverside to continue to offer the same CalPERS 3% @ 50 Benefit Formula, or substantially similar benefit, for retirement of public safety employees, as defined by CalPERS, even if CalPERS cease to offer the 3% at 50 and even if the County of Riverside were to no longer contract with CalPERS. It would also require the County of Riverside to continue to offer the same, or substantially similar, pre-retirement death benefits to public safety employees.

"Public Safety Employees" are those defined by CalPERS as all local police officer, local sheriffs, firefighters, safety officers, county peace officers, and school safety members, employed by a contracting agency who have by contract been included within the system.

"Retirement Benefits" are defined as service retirement, disability retirement, industrial disability retirement, survivor continuance, and retiree health benefits of public safety employees and their survivors. "Death Benefits" are defined to include pre-retirement death benefits offered to beneficiaries of public safety employees.

A majority of the electors voting on this measure must vote "yes" in order for the measure to pass. In the event of a competing measure, this measure will prevail over the competing measure in the event both measures receive a majority of votes cast but this measure receives a greater number of votes than the competing measure. Passage of this measure will result in the ordinance becoming effective 10 days after the vote is declared by the Board of Supervisors.

By: Dana M. Smith, Deputy County Counsel

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE “L”

Voters should vote Yes on Measure “L” because it will make it illegal for Riverside County officials to increase or decrease the pension benefits paid to its public safety employees without a vote of the people.

Measure “L” will also make it illegal for Riverside County’s politicians to increase or decrease the death benefits paid to the spouses and children of Deputy Sheriffs killed in the line of duty without a vote of the people.

Measure “L” simply gives the voters more power over the spending decisions of the Riverside County Board of Supervisors.

Like Proposition 13 protects taxpayers by requiring a vote of the people to approve local bond debts, Measure “L” will require a vote of the people should Riverside County negotiate higher pensions with the unions for public safety employees.

In the past, the Riverside County Board of Supervisors gave larger pensions to the unions that represent Riverside County’s public safety employees without a vote of the people.

If Measure “L” is passed, the voters will be able to approve or reject any pension increases County Supervisors agree to in closed door meetings with these unions.

Today, County politicians can raid the public safety pension fund or cut the safety net provided to the widows and orphans of Deputy Sheriffs killed in the line of duty without a vote of the people.

If Measure “L” is passed, the voters will have the power to approve or reject any attempts by the Riverside County Board of Supervisors to raid the public safety pension fund or to cut the Death Benefits paid to the families of fallen officers.

Hold politicians accountable for their spending and protect the families of the Deputy Sheriffs who put their lives at risk to protect our families.

Vote Yes on Measure “L”.

By: Ruben Rasso,
Deputy Sheriff, Ret.

Darren Hoopingarner,
Riverside County Firefighter

Joe Lucsko,
Supervising Probation Officer, Ret.

Brian Floyd,
Riverside County Taxpayers For Accountable Government

REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE “L”

DON'T LOCK-IN GOLD-PLATED PUBLIC UNION PENSIONS

Who wrote Measure “L”? The public employee union that wants to permanently lock-in skyrocketing, gold-plated pensions, even as unemployment tops 15% in Riverside County and thousands of our neighbors lose their homes to foreclosure.

The union knows Measure “L” makes it virtually impossible to even reduce lavish, taxpayer-funded pensions without a costly election.

DON'T BE FOOLED BY THE UNION'S MISLEADING ARGUMENTS

Measure “L” promoters claim it ensures that politicians can’t “raid the public safety pension fund.” The truth is state law prohibits anyone, including politicians, from raiding the pension fund. And no one can “cut the death benefits” promised to deputy sheriffs killed in the line of duty.

This union’s extravagant pension program is actually draining the County’s General Fund, which pays for vital services like sheriff’s deputies and fire protection. These services will be cut if taxpayers are forced to keep paying for fat public union pensions.

Don’t be fooled by the union-hired political operatives who signed the argument in favor of Measure “L”.

It’s you – the taxpayer – who will be left holding the bag for these unaffordable pensions.

STOP PUBLIC EMPLOYEE UNION PENSION ABUSE

PROTECT TAXPAYERS

VOTE NO ON MEASURE “L”

By: Jon Coupal,
President, Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association

Robert E. Bowers,
Riverside County Pension Reform Committee Member

Patricia “Corky” Larson,
Former Executive Director Coachella Valley Association of Governments
Former County Supervisor (1982-1994)

Cindy Roth,
President Greater Riverside Chambers of Commerce

Victor Miceli,
Retired Riverside County Superior Court Judge

ARGUMENT AGAINST MEASURE “L”

MEASURE “L” LOCKS-IN GOLD-PLATED PENSION BENEFITS VOTE “NO” ON MEASURE “L” TO PROTECT TAXPAYERS

Measure “L” was written and paid for by a public employee union seeking to permanently lock-in gold-plated pension benefits for its members – already the most generous and expensive in the six Southern California counties.

Don’t be fooled by the deceptive language in Measure “L”.

Measure “L” makes it nearly impossible for Riverside County to ever reform pension benefits that by 2016 will consume one-third of the County’s entire public safety personnel budget. Much-needed reform could save taxpayers \$80 million over the next decade.

Don’t be fooled by deceptive claims from the public employee union bankrolling Measure “L”.

The Deputy Sheriffs’ union is spending hundreds of thousands of dollars to pass Measure “L” because it wants to block pension reform.

The union’s claims about widows and children of fallen peace officers are designed to produce well-earned sympathy, but that’s not what Measure “L” is really about.

Neither the Board of Supervisors nor the public would ever consider arbitrarily reducing such benefits.

The real intent of Measure “L” is to create a process so expensive and complicated there can never be meaningful pension reform for members of this union.

It costs taxpayers up to \$2 million to pay the retirement benefits for just one of these union workers, who can retire at age 50 and receive up to 90% of their highest salary for life. This debt contributes to \$6 billion in county employee pension liabilities.

Unless we do something to reform this system, taxpayers will eventually have to choose between severe reductions in essential county services or significant tax increases.

VOTE “NO” ON MEASURE “L” TO PROTECT TAXPAYERS

By: Jon Coupal,
President, Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association

Robert E. Bowers,
Riverside County Pension Reform Committee Member

Cindy Roth,
President Greater Riverside Chambers of Commerce

Patricia “Corky” Larson,
Former Executive Director Coachella Valley Association of Governments

John G. Gabbert,
Riverside County Superior Court Judge (ret.)

REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT AGAINST MEASURE “L”

Those against Measure “L” left out several facts that undermine their argument.

FACT: Riverside County’s Public Safety Pension Plan is financially strong with \$1.4 billion in assets.

FACT: Measure “L” takes power away from politicians and gives the people the right to vote on how much is paid in public safety pensions. It does not permanently lock these pensions in at today’s level.

FACT: Measure “L” could save taxpayers millions of dollars by preventing the Board of Supervisors from giving bigger pensions to public safety employee unions by requiring voter approval of any increases.

FACT: The average retired Riverside County peace officer is 60 years old and receives a pension of \$34,385 annually. They do not receive Social Security benefits for their time serving Riverside County.

FACT: Nearly 60,000 residents signed petitions to put Measure “L” on the ballot.

FACT: Jon Coupal, the Sacramento-based activist fighting Measure “L”, pushed a proposition in 2005 that would have eliminated the current Death Benefits paid to the widows and orphans of all California Firefighters and Police Officers killed in the line of duty.

FACT: The wives and children of Riverside County’s law enforcement officers have no control over the conditions their husbands and fathers face protecting our neighborhoods and families from crime and violence.

Politicians should not be allowed to cut or eliminate the Death Benefits paid to the widows and orphans of officers killed in the line of duty.

You can protect Deputy Sheriff’s families and your tax dollars by voting Yes on Measure “L”.

By: Ruben Rasso,
Deputy Sheriff, Ret.

Joe Lucsko,
Supervising Probation Officer, Ret.

Brian Floyd,
Riverside County Taxpayers For Accountable Government

MEASURE "M" - COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 899

**AN ORDINANCE OF THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE PROHIBITING
INCREASES IN AND ALLOWING DECREASES IN PUBLIC
SAFETY PENSIONS WITHOUT VOTER APPROVAL**

The people of the County of Riverside ordains as follows:

Section 1. **TITLE.** This ordinance shall be known as the Taxpayer Protection and Fiscal Responsibility Act of 2010.

Section 2. **FINDINGS.**

- a. Given current budgetary constraints, taxpayers should be protected against increases in retirement and death benefits provided to public safety employees without voter approval.
- b. Widows and orphans of public safety employees whose death has been determined to be job related, however, shall be protected and benefits paid to such beneficiaries upon the death of the public safety employee shall not be reduced without voter approval.
- c. Given the County's fiscal crisis, retirement benefits for future public safety employees may need to be reduced. It is the intent that the Board of Supervisors continue to retain the discretion to reduce retirement benefits to the extent permitted by law.
- d. Existing public safety employees have a right to receive certain retirement benefits that were promised them upon employment. This ordinance does not allow the reduction of retirement benefits or death benefits which would constitute an unlawful impairment of contract in violation of Article 1, Section 10 of the United States Constitution or Article 1, Section 9 of the Constitution of the State of California.

Section 3. **PURPOSE.** The purpose of this ordinance is to prohibit increases in public safety employee retirement and death benefits without voter approval and to clarify that the Board of Supervisors retains the discretion to decrease public safety employee retirement and death benefits, to the extent permitted by law, without voter approval.

Section 4. **DEFINITIONS.**

- a. Death Benefits. Pre-retirement death benefits offered to public safety employees and their survivors as of the effective date of this measure.
- b. Public Safety Employees. Employees who are defined as safety employees under the California Public Employee Retirement System ("CalPERS") or any successor retirement system.
- c. Retirement Benefits. Retirement benefits offered to public safety employees and their survivors as of the effective date of this measure, and includes service retirement, disability retirement, industrial disability retirement, survivor continuance, and retiree health benefit.
- d. Retirement Benefit Formula. The retirement benefit formula currently in place for public safety employees, which is known as "3% @ 50," and includes the current limitation on these benefits of 90% of final compensation.
- e. Retirement System. The CalPERS or any successor retirement system.

Section 5. **LIMITATIONS ON CHANGES TO RETIREMENT AND DEATH BENEFITS.**

- a. The Board of Supervisors shall not take any action, by ordinance, resolution, or otherwise, which increases the retirement benefit formula of, or increases death benefits to the beneficiaries of, any past, current or future public safety employee of Riverside County in the retirement system, without first obtaining the approval of a majority of those qualified electors voting on the matter. This subdivision shall not apply to cost of living adjustments, salary increases and annual leave or compensatory time cash outs.

- b. The Board of Supervisors hereby retains the discretion to decrease the retirement benefit formula of, or decrease death benefits to the beneficiaries of, any past, current or future public safety employee of Riverside County in the retirement system without first obtaining the approval of a majority of those qualified electors voting on the matter. This subdivision shall not apply to pre-retirement benefits paid to the beneficiaries of a public safety employee whose death has been determined to be job-related. A reduction of such benefits for those beneficiaries of public safety employees whose death has been determined to be job-related shall not be made without first obtaining the approval of a majority of those qualified electors voting on the matter.
- c. Before submitting any increase in the retirement benefit formula or death benefits to a vote of the electorate, or decreasing the retirement formula or death benefits, the County shall meet and confer with public safety employee representative groups if required by the Myers Milias Brown Act (Government Code section 3505 et seq.).
- d. No reduction in retirement benefits or death benefits shall be taken which would constitute an unlawful impairment of contract in violation of Article 1, Section 10 of the United States Constitution, as well as Article 1, Section 9 of the Constitution of the State of California. If CalPERS ceases to offer the 3% at 50 benefit formula or if Riverside County ceases to contract with CalPERS for retirement and death benefits, the County shall not be required to offer the same retirement benefit formula and pre-retirement death benefits to public safety employees unless the failure to do so would constitute an unlawful impairment of contract under the federal or state constitutions or violate federal or state law.

Section 6. AMENDMENTS. This ordinance shall not be modified, amended or repealed, except by a majority vote of those qualified electors voting on the measure.

Section 7. CONFLICTS AND COMPETING MEASURES.

- a. In the event this ordinance conflicts with the terms of any other ordinance, resolution or policy of the County, this ordinance shall control.
- b. In the event that another measure ("competing measure") appears on the same ballot as this ordinance which seeks to adopt or impose provisions that differ in any regard to, or supplement, the provisions or requirements contained in this ordinance, the voters hereby expressly declare their intent that if both the competing measure and this ordinance receive a majority of votes cast, and if this ordinance receives a greater number of votes than the competing measure, this ordinance shall prevail in its entirety over the competing measure without regard to whether specific provisions of each measure directly conflict with each other.

Section 8. SEVERABILITY. If any provision or portion of this ordinance is, for any reason, held to be invalid or unconstitutional by any court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of the ordinance. It is hereby declared that the ordinance and each and every provision and portion thereof would have been adopted irrespective of the fact that any one or more provisions or portions thereof be declared invalid or unconstitutional.

Section 9. EFFECTIVE DATE. Pursuant to section 9122 of the Elections Code, this ordinance shall take effect ten days after the vote is declared by the Board of Supervisors.

IMPARTIAL ANALYSIS OF MEASURE "M"

This measure, if adopted, would prohibit the Riverside County Board of Supervisors from taking any action which would increase the retirement benefit formula or death benefit of any past, current or future public safety employee or their beneficiaries without first obtaining a majority vote of qualified electors who vote on the matter.

Decreases in the retirement benefit formula of, or death benefits of, any past, current or future public safety employee or their beneficiaries would not require voter approval. However, voter approval would be required for any reduction in benefits paid to beneficiaries as a result of a job-related death of a public safety employee.

Voter approval would not be required for cost of living adjustments, salary increases and annual leave or compensatory time cash outs.

The County of Riverside, if CalPERS ceases to offer the 3% at 50 benefit formula or if the County of Riverside ceases to contract with CalPERS for retirement and death benefits, would not be required to offer the same retirement benefit formula and pre-retirement death benefits to public safety employees unless failure to do so would violate federal or state law or constitute an unlawful impairment of contract under the federal or state constitution.

If required by the Myers Milias Brown Act, the Riverside County Board of Supervisors would meet and confer with public safety employee representative groups before submitting any proposed increase in benefits to the voters or before instituting any decrease in benefits.

"Public Safety Employees" are those defined by CalPERS as all local police officer, local sheriffs, firefighters, safety officers, county peace officers, and school safety members, employed by a contracting agency who have by contract been included within the system.

"Retirement Benefits" are defined as service retirement, disability retirement, industrial disability retirement, survivor continuance, and retiree health benefits of public safety employees and their survivors. "Death Benefits" are defined to include pre-retirement death benefits offered to beneficiaries of public safety employees.

A majority of the electors voting on this measure must vote "yes" in order for the measure to pass. In the event of a competing measure this measure will prevail over the competing measure in the event both measures receive a majority of votes cast but this measure receives a greater number of votes than the competing measure. Passage of this measure will result in Riverside County Ordinance 899 becoming effective 10 days after the vote is declared by the Board of Supervisors.

By: Dana M. Smith
Deputy County Counsel

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE "M"

PUT AN END TO PUBLIC EMPLOYEE PENSION ABUSE.
VOTE YES ON MEASURE "M".

Gold-plated pensions for public employees have pushed many local governments in California to the brink of bankruptcy.

Riverside County taxpayers have been left holding the bag for over \$6 billion in employee pension liabilities.

A Riverside County Deputy Sheriff can retire at age 50 and receive up to 90 percent of his or her highest salary for life, along with generous health care benefits. The cost to taxpayers for each deputy's retirement benefits can be up to \$2 million.

Many former public safety employees are among the 87 county retirees receiving pensions ranging from \$100,000 to \$195,000 annually.

By 2016, retirement benefits will consume one of every three dollars of the county's entire public safety personnel budget. That means losing tens of millions of dollars needed to put deputies on the street to protect you and your family.

Those who put their lives on the line to protect us deserve fair retirement benefits, but those benefits must also be affordable for taxpayers.

Measure "M" requires voter approval to increase pension benefits, but it also enables the Board of Supervisors to negotiate with the union to reduce benefits in the future.

In Orange County, the Board of Supervisors persuaded public employee unions to support a new pension system modeled on less-costly private-sector retirement plans. It not only saved taxpayers money, it was less costly for employees.

Measure "M" ensures the Riverside County Board of Supervisors can negotiate similar cost-saving reforms to protect taxpayers while preserving fair benefits for public employees.

That's why the Howard Jarvis Taxpayers' Association and all five members of the Riverside County Board of Supervisors strongly urge you to vote YES on Measure "M" to reform pensions and give voters control.

By: Jon Coupal,
President, Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association

Robert E. Bowers,
Riverside County Pension Reform Committee

Cindy Roth,
Greater Riverside Chambers of Commerce President

Patricia "Corky" Larson,
Former Executive Director, Coachella Valley Association of Governments

John G. Gabbert,
Riverside County Superior Court Judge (ret.)

REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE "M"

Measure "M" is hypocritical and full of loopholes that could prove costly to taxpayers.

It was placed on the ballot by the Riverside County Board of Supervisors and will not affect the excessive pensions of these politicians, their staffs, or the County's top administrators.

Measure "M" only targets the pensions of Riverside County's law enforcement officers who go to work each day risking their lives to keep our communities safe from crime and violence.

The pensions of retired rank and file Deputy Sheriffs are not "Gold-Plated." The average retired Deputy Sheriff earns a \$34,385 annual pension.

These Deputies all contributed money to their pensions. They don't receive Social Security benefits for their time serving Riverside County. Most pay a substantial amount of money for health insurance out of their own pockets.

But the generous pensions that will be paid to County Supervisors and exempt from Measure "M" can easily be described as "Gold-Plated."

The Press-Enterprise recently exposed that most Riverside County Supervisors will receive bigger pensions when they leave office than the average Firefighter or Deputy Sheriff will make in retirement.

If County Supervisor Bob Buster leaves office at the end of his term, he will receive an annual pension of over \$139,000.

County Supervisor John Benoit already makes over \$100,000 annually as a retired State Employee on top of his \$143,031 salary.

Don't allow politicians to target peace officers while exempting their pension increases from voter approval.

Reject the hypocrisy and these City of Bell type politics. Vote No on Measure "M."

By: Ruben Rasso,
Deputy Sheriff, Ret.

Joe Lucsko,
Supervising Probation Officer, Ret.

Brian Floyd,
Riverside County Taxpayers For Accountable Government

ARGUMENT AGAINST MEASURE “M”

Measure “M” will not protect your tax dollars or control government spending.

It was placed on the ballot by the Riverside County Board of Supervisors to preserve their political power so they can cut the reasonable benefits of Riverside County’s law enforcement officers without a vote of the people.

Measure “M” does nothing to control the pay, perks, or pensions of Riverside County’s Supervisors or top administrators.

- Measure “M” does not give voters the power to reject salary increases paid to the Riverside County Board of Supervisors.

Since 1997, total compensation for a County Supervisor increased over 130%. County Supervisor Bob Buster’s compensation climbed from \$69,463 to \$160,366 in just ten years.

- Measure “M” does not give voters the power to prevent County Supervisors from driving luxury cars at taxpayer expense.

The Press-Enterprise reported last year that County Supervisors were driving expensive vehicles that cost more than \$50,000 each at taxpayer expense.

- Measure “M” does not give voters the power to stop Riverside County’s politicians and administrators from receiving pensions larger than those earned by the firefighters and police officers who risk their lives protecting our communities.

The average pension paid to a retired Riverside County public safety employee is less than \$35,000 annually. But the majority of the Board of Supervisors will make over \$100,000 as an annual pension. Supervisor John Benoit will get a significant bump in his retirement since he already gets almost \$100,000 each year from the pension he’s paid as a retired State employee.

Voters should reject this flawed initiative that does nothing to limit the pay, perks, and pensions of Riverside County’s politicians and top administrators.

Stop City of Bell type of politics. Vote No on Measure “M”.

By: Ruben Rasso,
Deputy Sheriff, Ret.

Joe Lucsko,
Supervising Probation Officer, Ret.

Brian Floyd,
Riverside County Taxpayers for Accountable Government

REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT AGAINST MEASURE “M”

MEASURE “M” STOPS PUBLIC UNION PENSION ABUSE

Measure “M” puts the taxpayers and voters in charge of county pensions, not public employee unions and special interests. The reforms in Measure “M” can save taxpayers \$80 million over the next 10 years.

Measure “M” protects hardworking Riverside County taxpayers from a public employee union that opposes badly needed pension reforms. This union refuses to change its pension plan. The pension liability to public safety employees is projected to be \$1.82 billion by June 2010. The County’s unfunded public safety pension liability is \$194 million.

Today, 15% of Riverside County residents are out of work. Home foreclosures are rampant. Annual County tax revenues have plummeted by \$192 million.

But members of the union opposing Measure “M” continue to fight pension reform. Maybe it is because union members can retire at age 50 with up to 90% of their highest salary - up to \$107,518 annually, as well as partial monthly health care benefits. These lavish benefits put taxpayers on the hook for up to \$2 million for a single union retiree.

No wonder the union wants to change the subject! They’re hiding this outrageous taxpayer rip-off behind a smokescreen of false claims and misleading rhetoric.

The truth is Measure “M’s” reforms apply equally to all public safety personnel.

It’s time to rein in runaway public employee pension benefits that Riverside County taxpayers cannot afford.

VOTE YES ON MEASURE “M” FOR REAL PENSION REFORM

By: Jon Coupal,
President, Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association

Robert E. Bowers,
Riverside County Pension Reform Committee Member

Patricia “Corky” Larson,
Former Coachella Valley Association of Governments Executive Director
Retired County Supervisor (1982 - 1994)

Cindy Roth,
Greater Riverside Chambers of Commerce President

Victor Miceli,
Retired Riverside County Superior Court Judge

MEASURE "U" – SCHOOL FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 1 OF THE MT. SAN JACINTO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

FULL TEXT OF BOND PROPOSITION

SCHOOL FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 1 OF THE MT. SAN JACINTO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT SAN GORGONIO PASS JOB TRAINING AND EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITY BOND OF 2010

This Proposition may be known and referred to as the "School Facilities Improvement District No.1 of the Mt. San Jacinto Community College District San Gorgonio Pass Job Training and Educational Opportunity Bond of 2010" or as "Measure U".

BOND AUTHORIZATION

By approval of this proposition by at least 55% of the registered voters voting on the proposition, the Mt. San Jacinto Community College District (the "Community College District") shall be authorized to issue and sell bonds of School Facilities Improvement District No. 1 of the Mt. San Jacinto Community College District (the "School Facilities Improvement District") of up to \$47,000,000 in aggregate principal amount to provide financing for the specific school facilities project listed under the heading entitled "BOND PROJECT LIST" below (the "Bond Project List"), subject to all of the accountability safeguards specified below. The bonds shall be payable from *ad valorem* taxes to be levied and collected upon lands located within the School Facilities Improvement District.

ACCOUNTABILITY SAFEGUARDS

The provisions in this section are specifically included in this proposition in order that the voters and taxpayers of the Community College District within the boundaries of the School Facilities Improvement District may be assured that their money will be spent to address specific facilities needs of the Community College District, all in compliance with the requirements of Article XIII A, Section 1(b)(3) of the California Constitution, and the Strict Accountability in Local School Construction Bonds Act of 2000 (codified at California Education Code (the "Education Code") Sections 15264 and following).

Evaluation of Needs. The Board of Trustees of the Community College District (the "Board") has prepared an updated facilities plan in alignment with the Community College District's Education Master Plan in order to evaluate and address the facilities needs of the San Gorgonio Pass area of the Community College District, and to determine which projects to finance from a local bond at this time. The Board hereby certifies that it has evaluated safety, class size reduction and information technology needs in developing the Bond Project List.

Limitations on Use of Bonds. Proceeds from the sale of bonds authorized by this proposition shall be used only for the construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, or replacement of school facilities, including the furnishing and equipping of school facilities, or the acquisition or lease of real property for school facilities, for the benefit of land within the area of the School Facilities Improvement District, including, to the extent permitted by law, the acquisition or lease of real property in connection with an existing or future financing of the specific school facilities project listed in the Bond Project List, including the prepayment of existing or future lease, certificate of participation or lease revenue bond financings, and not for any other purpose, including teacher and administrator salaries and other school operating expenses.

Independent Citizens' Oversight Committee. The Board shall establish an independent citizens' oversight committee (pursuant to Education Code Section 15278 and following), to ensure bond proceeds are expended only for the school facilities project listed in the Bond Project List. The committee shall be established within 60 days of the date when the results of the election appear in the minutes of the Board. In accordance with Section 15282 of the Education Code, the citizens' oversight committee shall consist of at least seven members and include a member active in a business organization representing the business community located within the Community College District, a member active in a senior citizens' organization, a member active in a bona fide taxpayers' organization, a member that is a student who is both currently enrolled in the Community College District and active in a community college group, and a member that is active in the support and organization of a community college or the community colleges of the Community College District. No employee or official of the Community College District and no vendor, contractor or consultant of the Community College District shall be appointed to the citizens' oversight committee.

Annual Performance Audits. The Board shall conduct an annual, independent performance audit to ensure that the bond proceeds have been expended only on the school facilities project listed in the Bond Project List. The results of these audits shall be made publicly available.

Annual Financial Audits. The Board shall conduct an annual, independent financial audit of the bond proceeds until all of those proceeds have been spent for the school facilities project listed in the Bond Project List. The results of these audits shall be made publicly available.

Special Bond Proceeds Account; Annual Report to Board. Upon approval of this proposition and the sale of any bonds approved, the Board shall take actions necessary to establish an account in which proceeds of the sale of bonds will be deposited. As long as any proceeds of the bonds remain unexpended, the President of the Community College District shall cause a report to be filed with the Board no later than January 1 of each year, commencing January 1, 2011, stating (a) the amount of bond proceeds received and expended in that year, and (b) the status of any project funded or to be funded from bond proceeds. The report may relate to the calendar year, fiscal year, or other appropriate annual period as the President of the Community College District shall determine, and may be incorporated into the annual budget, audit, or other appropriate routine report to the Board.

FURTHER SPECIFICATIONS

Single Purpose. All of the purposes enumerated in this proposition shall be united and voted upon as one single proposition, pursuant to Education Code Section 15100, and all the enumerated purposes shall constitute the specific single purpose of the bonds, and proceeds of the bonds shall be spent only for such purpose, pursuant to California Government Code Section 53410.

Other Terms of the Bonds. When sold, the bonds shall bear interest at an annual rate not exceeding the statutory maximum, and that interest shall be made payable at the time or times permitted by law. The bonds may be issued and sold in several series, and no bond shall be made to mature more than 40 years from the date borne by that bond.

BOND PROJECT LIST

The Bond Project List below lists the specific project the Community College District proposes to finance with proceeds of the bonds. The Bond Project List shall be considered a part of the bond proposition and shall be reproduced in any official document required to contain the full statement of the bond proposition. Each component of the project will be completed according to Board-established priorities. Each component of the project is assumed to include its share of costs of the election and bond issuance, construction-related costs, such as project and construction management, architectural, engineering, inspection and similar planning and testing costs, demolition and interim housing costs, legal, accounting and similar fees, costs related to the independent annual financial and performance audits, a contingency for unforeseen design and construction costs, and other costs incidental to and necessary for completion of the listed project (whether the related work is performed by the Community College District or third parties). The final cost of each component of the project will be determined as plans are finalized, construction bids are awarded, and components are completed. In addition, certain construction funds expected from non-bond sources have not yet been secured. Therefore, the Board cannot guarantee that the bonds will provide sufficient funds to allow completion of all listed components of the project. Alternatively, if the Community College District obtains unexpected funds from non-bond sources with respect to the project, the project may be enhanced, supplemented or expanded to the extent of such funds. Some or all of the components of the project may be subject to further government approvals, including by State officials and boards and/or local environmental or agency approval. Inclusion of a component of the project on the bond project list is not a guarantee that the component will be completed.

The specific project authorized to be financed with proceeds of the bonds under this proposition is as follows:

SAN GORGONIO PASS CAMPUS

Construction of the initial phase of a new college campus and related facilities, including:

- Associated onsite and offsite development, demolition and other improvements made necessary by construction of such facilities.
- Planning, designing and constructing the initial phase of the campus and related facilities and improvements, including buildings and related infrastructure and site improvements to house and support general education, career and technology training classrooms and lab space, including computer and science labs, and academic support operations, including enrollment, counseling, financial aid and academic advisement functions, disabled student programs and services, food services, a learning resource center, a community event center and other academic support operations, including maintenance and operations and campus security.
- Acquisition and installation of furnishings, equipment, fixtures and accessories related to the newly constructed facilities.
- Acquisition of any rights-of-way and easements made necessary by construction of such facilities.
- Acquisition of all or a portion of any college site or facility, or an interest therein, encumbered in order to finance or refinance such facilities pursuant to a lease, certificate of participation or lease revenue bond financing.

IMPARTIAL ANALYSIS OF MEASURE "U"

The Board of Trustees of the Mt. San Jacinto Community College District has ordered a General Obligation Bond election to be held in the territory of School Facilities Improvement District No. 1 of the Mt. San Jacinto Community College District seeking voter approval to issue and sell bonds of School Facilities Improvement District No. 1 up to \$47,000,000 in aggregate principal amount to provide financing for the San Gorgonio Pass Campus.

Proceeds from the sale of bonds authorized by this Measure could only be used for the construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation or replacement of the school facility including furnishing and equipping the facility or acquisition or lease of real property for the facility known as the San Gorgonio Pass Campus. Proceeds could not be used for teacher or administrator salaries or other operating expenses.

The bonds would bear interest at an annual rate not to exceed the statutory maximum payable as permitted by law. The bonds could be sold in several series and would mature no more than forty (40) years from the date borne by the bond.

An ad valorem tax would be levied and collected on lands located within School Facilities Improvement District No. 1 to pay the principal and interest of the bonds.

For this Measure to be approved fifty-five percent (55%) of qualified voters who vote on the Measure must vote yes.

A "Yes" vote on Measure "U" is a vote to allow the sale of bonds for the San Gorgonio Pass Campus project and the levy of taxes to pay for the bonds.

A "No" vote on Measure "U" is a vote against the sale of bonds for the San Gorgonio Pass Campus project and the levy of taxes to pay for the bonds.

By: Dana M. Smith,
Deputy County Counsel

TAX RATE STATEMENT FOR MEASURE "U"

An election will be held within the boundaries of School Facilities Improvement District No. 1 of the Mt. San Jacinto Community College District (the "School Facilities Improvement District") on November 2, 2010, to authorize the sale of up to \$47,000,000 in bonds of the School Facilities Improvement District to finance facilities as described in the proposition. If the bonds are approved, the Mt. San Jacinto Community College District (the "Community College District") expects to sell the bonds in multiple series. Principal and interest on the bonds will be payable from the proceeds of tax levies made upon the taxable property located only within the School Facilities Improvement District. The following information is provided in compliance with Sections 9400 through 9404 of the California Elections Code.

1. The best estimate of the tax which would be required to be levied to fund this bond issue during the first fiscal year after the sale of the first series of bonds, based on estimated assessed valuations available at the time of filing of this statement, is 2.400 cents per \$100 (\$24.00 per \$100,000) of assessed valuation in fiscal year 2011-12.
2. The best estimate of the tax rate which would be required to be levied to fund this bond issue during the first fiscal year after the sale of the last series of bonds, based on estimated assessed valuations available at the time of filing of this statement, is 2.400 cents per \$100 (\$24.00 per \$100,000) of assessed valuation in fiscal year 2021-22.
3. The best estimate of the highest tax rate which would be required to be levied to fund this bond issue, based on estimated assessed valuations available at the time of filing of this statement, is 2.400 cents per \$100 (\$24.00 per \$100,000) of assessed valuation and is expected to remain approximately the same in each year during the term of repayment of the bonds.

Voters should note that estimated tax rates are based on the **ASSESSED VALUE** of taxable property of the County of Riverside's official tax rolls, not on the property's market value, which could be more or less than the assessed value. In addition, taxpayers eligible for a property tax exemption, such as the homeowner's exemption, will be taxed at a lower effective tax rate than described above. Certain taxpayers may also be eligible to postpone payment of taxes. Property owners should consult their own property tax bills and tax advisors to determine their property's assessed value and any applicable tax exemptions.

Attention of all voters is directed to the fact that the foregoing information is based upon the Community College District's projections and estimates only, which are not binding upon the Community College District. The actual tax rates and the years in which they will apply may vary from those presently estimated, due to variations from these estimates in the timing of bond sales, the amount of bonds sold and market interest rates at the time of each sale, and actual assessed valuations over the term of repayment of the bonds. The dates of sale and the amount of bonds sold at any given time will be determined by the Community College District based on need for construction funds and other factors. The actual interest rates at which the bonds will be sold will depend on the bond market at the time of each sale. Actual future assessed valuation will depend upon the amount and value of taxable property within the School Facilities Improvement District as determined by the County Assessor in the annual assessment and the equalization process.

Dated: July 22, 2010.

Roger Schultz
President
Mt. San Jacinto Community College
District

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE “U”

A YES vote on Measure “U” will bring quality education and good jobs to the San Geronio Pass.

Measure “U” is urgently needed to ensure the community college campus being built on Sunset and Westward avenues, south of I-10, can continue to expand into the future to meet the Pass area’s growing demand for higher education.

For too many Pass residents, good jobs, career training and higher education are out of reach. Four-year colleges are too expensive and state budget cuts have forced universities to turn away students. Community college is the only affordable way for many to get the education and job training necessary for employment in these difficult economic times.

Measure “U” will boost our economy by:

- Immediately creating local construction jobs.
- Building a campus that will become one of the largest employers in the Pass.
- Attracting businesses to the Pass with a pool of skilled workers trained at the campus.

Measure “U” will pay for:

- Construction of buildings and new equipment for science labs and other programs to keep pace with advancing technology.

Local employers like San Geronio Memorial Hospital and the Banning courthouse need workers with specialized training. Measure “U” will give Pass residents the skills needed to fill these jobs and serve our community, including:

- Training for paramedics, nurses and other healthcare careers.
- Training for careers in criminal justice and law enforcement.
- Math, science and academic courses needed for transfers to universities.

Strict taxpayer protections will ensure Measure “U” delivers what is promised:

- The money stays in the Pass for construction at the college campus.
- State cannot take away funds.
- Funds CANNOT be used for administrators’ salaries.
- An independent Citizens’ Oversight Committee comprised of Pass residents will monitor the funds.

Vote YES on “U”.

By: Robert D. Little,
Chair, Inland County Workforce Development Board / Business Owner

Brian DeForge,
Mayor, City of Beaumont

Robert (Bob) Botts,
Mayor, City of Banning

Ronald A. Duncan,
President, Banning Chamber of Commerce / Business Owner

Eugene (Gene) Kadow,
Economist / Chair, Economic Development Committee, Banning

REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE “U”

Why should local property owners fund a Banning college BEFORE area enrollments meet state enrollment guidelines? State guidelines require 500 FTES (full time equivalent students). The Pass Area only has 47 FTES currently attending MSJC.

Measure “U” is untimely and \$47,000,000 too costly. Property Owners are being taxed out of homes by government agencies that want more from your taxpayer wallet and are “out of touch” with the current economic downturn.

Pass Area students currently have access to a wide variety of community college classes at Crafton Hills College in Yucaipa and MSJC in San Jacinto, both colleges an 18-20 minute drive and both offering on-line classes. The soon-to-be opened Banning campus (with appropriation by the city of Banning in cooperation with MSJC) will provide additional access to higher education and the Banning and Beaumont high schools can be used for evening classes.

Don’t be fooled, MSJC will “monitor the funds” and the Independent Oversight Committee will receive expenditure reports after the fact with NO power to direct district spending. Measure “U” cannot be used for administrators’ salaries but can be spent on numerous outside consultants, project manager, architects, engineers, attorneys, bond commissions, etc.

MSJC can and should continue to serve Pass area students and can do so without a \$47,000,000 local tax. The modular campus will be sufficient and a good test start for the Pass.

Protect your wallet.

Vote No on “U”.

By: Greg Barker

Marilyn Barker

ARGUMENT AGAINST MEASURE “U”

Now is NOT the time to ask taxpayers for more tax dollars. Taxes are already too high and local property owners cannot afford more taxes.

A \$47,000,000 local bond will cost local taxpayers almost double when interest is added and property owners in the Banning and Beaumont school districts will pay for all of it.

The state requires a center to reach 500 FTES, (full-time equivalent students) BEFORE a college can apply for state approval status of a campus and become eligible for state capital building funds and matching funds. The Pass Area presently has only 47 FTES (full-time equivalent students). Reaching these high enrollment levels could take many more years.

The Pass campus project is NOT state approved. Without state approval, it is not eligible to receive additional state revenue for start up costs, operating costs or any matching grants for building construction.

Local Pass students can be best served at the soon-to-be completed Banning modular campus and offering additional evening classes at the two high schools without this \$47,000,000 local bond measure.

MSJC should be more about building Pass enrollments to meet the state approval requirements BEFORE asking voters for \$47,000,000.

Vote “NO” on Measure “U”. It is untimely and too costly.

By: Greg Barker

Marilyn Barker

REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT AGAINST MEASURE “U”

Leaders from our cities, county, schools, college, and local businesses agree that now **IS** the time to pass Measure “U” to boost jobs and our economy.

The California Community Colleges Chancellor’s Office is one of the state agencies responsible for approving community college sites, and they advise that MSJC is taking the appropriate steps to bring a campus to the Pass to meet demand and begin the process to qualify for state funding.

Pass unemployment is over 17.4%. Residents need education and skills to qualify for jobs to support their families. Four-year universities are turning away students and raising tuition, making community college the only affordable option for higher education and job training.

In 2009, over 950 pass residents enrolled at MSJC. The modular campus being built, along with the high school classrooms in Beaumont and Banning will be inadequate to meet the growing needs of the pass in the very near future.

Measure “U” is needed to provide the education the community will need over the next several decades. The Master Plan calls for serving over 5,000 Pass students in the coming years.

Measure “U” will:

- Create over one hundred jobs to build and staff the campus
- Train graduates for jobs to serve our community
- Attract new employers
- Guarantee funds stay in the Pass and can’t be taken by the State
- Ensure funds are not used for administrator salaries
- Guarantee an independent local oversight committee to monitor expenditures

Please vote Yes on “U”.

By: Robert D. Little,
Chair, Inland County Workforce Development Board / Business Owner

Brian DeForge,
Mayor, City of Beaumont

Robert (Bob) Botts,
Mayor, City of Banning

Ronald A. Duncan,
Business Owner

Eugene (Gene) Kadow
President, Board of Trustees, MSJC

FROM

DID YOU SIGN YOUR APPLICATION?



FIRST
CLASS
POSTAGE

PLACE STAMP HERE

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS
2724 GATEWAY DRIVE
RIVERSIDE CA 92507-0923



NOTICE TO THE VOTER WITH SPECIAL NEEDS:

A "YES" or "NO" printed under the disabled symbol/arrow on the back cover of this pamphlet indicates whether or not your assigned polling place is accessible to physically disabled voters. Please be advised of the following alternate ways you may cast your ballot.

- **By mail.** You may request a vote-by-mail ballot. Simply complete the application on the back cover of this pamphlet. The application must be mailed to reach the Registrar of Voters Office by the deadline given.
- **Early Voting.** You may vote at the Registrar of Voters office located at 2724 Gateway Drive, Riverside, CA 92507, October 4 - November 1 (excluding Holidays), Monday through Friday, 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.; Saturdays, 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.; and Election Day, November 2, 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.
- **Curbside Voting** on Election Day. If you are unable to enter the polling place due to a physical disability, you may request Curbside Voting at your assigned polling place. Upon arrival at the polling place, you will be allowed to vote in a location as near as possible to the entrance that is accessible to the physically disabled. If you require Curbside Voting, please call 1-877-663-9906 before going to your assigned polling place and/or when you arrive at the facility. An Election Officer will be contacted prior to your arrival to meet you at the curb.

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS
2724 GATEWAY DRIVE
RIVERSIDE, CA 92507-0918



NONPROFIT
U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
Registrar of Voters
Riverside

VOTE-BY-MAIL BALLOT APPLICATION

APPLICATION MUST BE RECEIVED NO LATER THAN OCTOBER 26, 2010

I hereby request a ballot for the Consolidated General Election, November 2, 2010.

PLEASE PRINT:

NAME AS REGISTERED

RESIDENCE ADDRESS AS REGISTERED

CITY

STATE

ZIP

MAIL BALLOT TO:

ADDRESS (IF DIFFERENT)

CITY

STATE

ZIP

☐ ☒ Check this box to request PERMANENT VOTE-BY-MAIL status.

I declare, under penalty of perjury that the information provided is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

↓ POSTMASTER DELIVER TO ↓

SIGNATURE
REQUIRED

SIGNATURE OF VOTER

DATE

33-BCov GENERAL 11-02-10

BALLOT
TYPE 256

✓ **You May Cast Your Vote Early**

At

THE REGISTRAR OF VOTERS OFFICE
2724 Gateway Drive, Riverside, CA 92507

October 4 through November 1 (excluding Holidays)
Monday through Friday, 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.
Saturdays, 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

Election Day November 2
7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.

IMPORTANT NOTICE!!!

Accessible Voting Unit available at all polling locations.

TO MARK YOUR VOTE
DRAW A LINE



VOTE!!!

For a demonstration on marking your ballot visit www.voteinfo.net

VOTE EARLY

IT'S QUICK
IT'S EASY

IT'S CONVENIENT

GET OUT OF LINE ON ELECTION DAY!

VOTE-BY-MAIL

↓ LOCATION OF YOUR POLLING PLACE ↓



ACCESS?

